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ABSTRACT: We present an analytical theory for heteropolymer deformation, as exemplified experimentally by stretching of single protein molecules. Using replica mean-field theory, we determine phase diagrams for stress-induced unfolding of typical random sequences. This transition is sharp in the limit of infinitely long chain molecules. However, for chain lengths relevant to biological macromolecules, partially unfolded conformations prevail over an intermediate range of stress. These necklace-like structures, comprised of alternating compact and extended subunits, are stabilized by quenched variations in the composition of finite chain segments. The most stable arrangements of these subunits are largely determined by preferential extension of segments rich in solvophilic monomers. This predicted significance of necklace structures explains recent observations in protein stretching experiments. We examine the statistical features of select sequences that give rise to mechanical strength and may thus have guided the evolution of proteins that carry out mechanical functions in living cells.

I. Introduction

Several recent experiments have highlighted the mechanical strength of proteins involved in muscle elasticity and cell adhesion.1–3 When pulled from the ends, these molecules can withstand significant stress before their constituent domains unfold from compact native states to extended coil-like structures. This stress-induced unfolding occurs sharply, with threshold forces f\text{\textsubscript{t}} on the order of 100 pN. In natural units for these systems, f\text{\textsubscript{t}} \approx 100k\text{\textsubscript{B}}T/\alpha, where k\text{\textsubscript{B}} is Boltzmann’s constant (which we subsequently set to unity), T is temperature, and \alpha = 1 nm is the size of a typical amino acid. By contrast, studies of proteins whose functions are not mechanical in nature have revealed lower threshold forces (f\text{\textsubscript{t}} \approx 10k\text{\textsubscript{B}}T/\alpha) and less dramatic stretching behavior.4,5 Specifically, relative fluctuations in restoring force are considerably larger than for mechanical proteins, and the stretching transition is less sharply defined. Evolution therefore appears to have designed certain proteins to unfold reproducibly under critical stress.

Results of computer simulations have lent details to this notion of mechanical design. Random heteropolymers on a lattice, which may be viewed as coarse-grained caricatures of proteins, exhibit stretching behavior that depends strongly on the sequence of constituent monomers.6 Typical random sequences elongate smoothly under stress, passing through one or more long-lived, partially extended structures. Sequences selected for their ability to fold rapidly in the absence of stress, however, undergo a relatively sharp force-induced transition. Folding efficiency is thus correlated with mechanical strength to some extent. But reaction coordinates for protein folding are only loosely coupled to the simple mechanical variables manipulated in stretching experiments.7 In an ensemble of fast-folding sequences, a range of mechanical stabilities is therefore expected, due to variations in sequence properties that do not affect folding dynamics. Native state topology may be one such property, according to results of protein stretching simulations with atomistic detail.8,9 However, due to the computational expense of such simulations, they provide only anecdotal insight into the relationship between sequence and mechanical strength.

A general understanding of heteropolymer deformation can only be obtained by considering appropriate ensembles of possible sequences. We have recently described the results of an analytical theory that takes the diversity of such ensembles properly into account.10 Specifically, the free energies of various conformational states are averaged over the distribution of sequences, using the replica trick.11 In this way, the mechanical response typical of random heteropolymers is determined. This article presents our theoretical approach in detail.

Because we focus on equilibrium free energetics, our theory directly applies only to reversible stretching, i.e., pulling rates that are much slower than rates of spontaneous unfolding. Stretching experiments, on the other hand, have been performed irreversibly, as evidenced by wide hysteresis.1 Relating theoretical results to these experiments is made possible by an identity established by Crooks.12 In particular, Hummer and Szabo have shown that reversible stretching behavior may be extracted from repeated nonequilibrium measurements.13 Equilibrium results determined in this way differ only in details from their nonequilibrium counterparts. For example, the threshold force required to unfold a mechanical protein reversibly is smaller than the corresponding nonequilibrium value, but the induced transition remains sharp.14 Qualitative predictions of our theory are thus relevant to current experiments. Direct comparisons are possible in principle when experimental measurements have been repeated sufficiently.

The coarse features of heteropolymer response do not differ significantly from those of a homopolymer. In poor solvent (T < \gamma), a chain molecule is transformed by stress from collapsed globule to expanded coil. This transformation occurs abruptly for homopolymers, as determined by scaling analysis.15 Globule deformation is strongly resisted by the cost of enlarging the polymer-solvent interface, while a coil is quite pliable. The “phase transition” between these states is thus accompanied...
by a sharp change in extension. At phase coexistence, the free energetic equivalence of globule and coil gives rise to necklace-like structures, in which compact and expanded subunits alternate within the chain (as sketched in Figure 1). In the case of homopolymers, these partially extended structures are unstable away from coexistence. A phase diagram for homopolymer stretching is constructed from this physical picture in section II.

Necklace structures figure more prominently in the cases of polyelectrolytes and polyampholytes. When a significant fraction of monomers carry charge, fully compact conformations are unstable, in analogy to the Rayleigh instability of charged droplets. As a result, the chain segregates into a series of smaller, tethered globules. Such necklaces are the ground states of sufficiently charged polyelectrolytes, even in the absence of stress. Stretching these molecules modifies the details of structural partitioning, reducing the sizes and numbers of compact subunits and lengthening the stringlike subunits that connect them.

Necklaces play an enhanced role in heteropolymer stretching as well, but for different reasons. The quenched disorder of random sequences lends different degrees of mechanical susceptibility to different regions of the chain. Depending on the extent of this heterogeneity, necklace structures may dominate over an appreciable range of stress. In effect, the globule-coil coexistence region is broadened by disorder. In section III, we determine the magnitude of this broadening by analyzing a microscopic model for heteropolymer deformation. For uncorrelated sequence statistics, we show that necklaces are stabilized over a stress interval of relative width $N^{-1/2}$, where $N$ is the number of monomers per molecule. The effects of correlations within a sequence, also examined in section III, suggest that certain statistical patterns are strongly correlated with mechanical strength. These patterns are consistent with the structures of mechanical proteins designed by evolution.

In seeking a microscopic explanation for the stretching behavior of proteins, we focus on the effects of heteropolymeric disorder. Electrostatic effects are expected to influence protein stability less strongly at physiological conditions. We also focus on the response to external stress, rather than to strain. Although the extension of protein molecules is constrained in experiments, the flexibility of unfolded segments in these modular structures mediates the applied strain. Indeed, given the contour lengths of unfolded regions, simple elastic models account for the measured restoring forces of modular proteins. Individual, folded domains are thus effectively subjected to uniform external stress. The model we analyze in section III is tailored to these external conditions appropriate for experiments and for biological function.

II. Homopolymer Stretching

We employ simple, mean-field descriptions of the conformational states relevant to polymer deformation. For instance, the free energy of a homopolymer globule relative to that of an ideal coil,

$$ F_g(N) = B_0 N + \gamma N^{2/3} \quad (1) $$

is dominated by the effective interactions between monomers. Here, $\rho$ is the monomer density, and $\gamma$ is the surface tension of the globule-solvent interface. The energy density of monomer attractions, $B_0 = T - \Theta$, stabilizes the compact state for $T < \Theta$. We focus on temperatures below the $\Theta$-region, for which the globule is highly compact, i.e., $\rho v \sim 1$, where $v$ is the volume of a monomer. At this level of description, the contribution of stress to $F_g$ is negligible within the regime of globule stability.

We represent the coil state by a freely jointed chain with segment length $a$. This model provides the simplest description of polymer flexibility that ensures a finite maximum chain extension, $Na$. This condition is important at low temperatures, where the coil is highly extended under stress. The free energy of coil deformation is easily computed from this model

$$ F_c(N) = -NT \ln[y(fa/T)] \quad (2) $$

where $y(x) = \sinh(x)/x$. The stretching force at which this extended coil coexists with the compact state is determined by equating $F_c$ and $F_g$:

$$ f_t = y^{-1}(\exp[-(B_0 + \gamma N^{1/2})/T]/a \quad (3) $$

This phase boundary is plotted as a function of temperature in Figure 2 for various $N$. Qualitative features of these phase diagrams for homopolymer stretching compare well with results of lattice polymer simulations. At low temperatures, a reentrant coil phase appears. This rodlike state involves small fluctuations about a fully extended structure, as has been noted in simula-
III. Heteropolymer Stretching

Heterogeneity of monomer types modifies the deformation scenario described above in several ways. First, the fully compact state is dominated by only a few distinct conformations at low temperature. The corresponding freezing transition has been analyzed thoroughly. For necklace structures, each compact subunit can freeze in this way. Because the composition of these subunits is randomly distributed, the details of freezing will differ for each. Specifically, each subunit will have a different ground state energy and, thus, a different stability. In addition, variations in sequence composition will strongly influence the solvation energetics of expanded subunits. As a result, the susceptibility of a given region of the chain to extension is effectively a random variable. This situation is illustrated in Figure 3.

We weight these effects of disorder using a microscopic model of random heteropolymers. For a particular realization of monomer identities, \( a_i \), the energy of a chain conformation is

\[
\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 + \Gamma \sum_{i<j} \delta_1 - \mathbf{f} \cdot (\mathbf{r}_N - \mathbf{r}_1) \tag{4}
\]

\[
\mathcal{H}_0 = \sum_{i,j=1}^N \delta_2(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j)(\mathbf{B}_0 + \chi a_i a_j) \tag{5}
\]

Here, \( \mathbf{r}_i \) denotes the position of the \( i \)th monomer in the chain, and \( \mathbf{f} \) is the external stretching force coupled to the end-to-end vector, \( \mathbf{r}_N - \mathbf{r}_1 \). The connectivity of consecutive monomers is implicit in eq 4. We take the links between connected monomers \( i \) and \( i+1 \) to be distributed according to a function \( g(|\mathbf{r}_{i+1} - \mathbf{r}_i|) \) with range \( a \). Unconnected monomers \( i \) and \( j \) interact only when they are in contact, as described by the Dirac delta function in eq 5. The heteropolymeric part of this interaction depends on the identities of the monomers involved. Two monomer types, \( a_i = \pm 1 \), are possible at each point in the sequence. These types could represent, for example, amino acids with hydrophilic and hydrophobic side chains. We choose \( \chi < 0 \), so that each monomer attracts others of the same type most strongly.

The sequence of monomer types \( a_i \) is fixed for each realization of the heteropolymer. As in related problems, this quenched disorder requires careful treatment.

The second term in eq 4 describes the solvation energetics of monomers that are exposed to the external environment. The sum extends only over the set \( S \) of exposed monomers. Depending implicitly on chain conformation, this term mimics a many-body aspect of the hydrophobic effect, the tendency to bury unfavorably solvated regions of a solute. We take \( \Gamma > 0 \), so that monomers of type \( a_i = -1 \) are preferentially solvated.

In the following sections, we analyze the energetics of eqs 4 and 5 for the conformational states important to mechanical response, namely a fully compact globule, a fully expanded coil, and structurally heterogeneous necklaces. With these results, we construct phase diagrams for heteropolymer stretching.

A. Globule. The first term in eq 4, \( \mathcal{H}_0 \), defines a model of a random copolymer in the absence of explicit solvation energetics (\( \Gamma = 0 \)) and stretching force (\( \mathbf{f} = 0 \)). A mean field theory for the globular state of this model was analyzed in ref 26 using the replica trick. At a critical temperature, \( T_c \), the heteropolymer freezes into \( O(1) \) low energy conformations. This transition is accompanied by one-step replica symmetry breaking, indicating that the hierarchy of basins of attraction in conformation space is one level deep. This result is consistent with a random energy model of the globule.

In other words, the density of states is well represented by drawing energies at random from a Gaussian distribution, \( \mathcal{P}(E) \), with appropriate mean, \( E \), and variance, \( \Delta^2 \). Our analysis of the globular state for the model defined by eq 4 (with nonzero \( \Gamma \) and \( \mathbf{f} \)) closely follows that of ref 26. We focus on the way in which solvation modifies the effective distribution of energies. As in the homopolymeric case, we neglect the effect of stretching force on globule free energetics.

To compute properties characteristic of an ensemble of random heteropolymers, we must average over their sequences. Because the disordered sequence is quenched, this average is correctly performed on the logarithm of the partition function, \( Z \), rather than on \( Z \) itself. This mathematically awkward procedure can be accomplished using the replica trick \( \mathcal{N} \),

\[
\langle \ln Z \rangle_{\text{av}} = \lim_{n \to 0} \frac{\langle Z,n \rangle_{\text{av}} - 1}{n} \tag{6}
\]

where \( \langle \ldots \rangle_{\text{av}} \) denotes an average over realizations of the random sequence. For integer values of \( n \), the quantity \( \langle Z,n \rangle_{\text{av}} \) has the form of a partition function for \( n \) coupled replicas of the original system. For the model of eq 4...
by introducing a conjugate field 

\[
\langle \mathbf{Z}^n \rangle_{av} = \left[ \prod_{\alpha=1}^{\delta} \int \prod_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{dr}_i^n g(\mathbf{r}_i^n - \mathbf{r}_i^n) \right] \times 
\exp \left[ -\frac{B_0 n}{T} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\delta} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \delta(\mathbf{r}_i^n - \mathbf{r}_j^n) \right] \times 
\exp \left[ -\sum_{ij} \gamma \delta(\mathbf{r}_i^n - \mathbf{r}_j^n) \mathbf{a}_i - \frac{\Gamma}{T} \sum_j \mathbf{a}_j \right]_{av} (7)
\]

where \( \mathbf{r}_{lu} \) is the position of the \( i \)th monomer of replica number \( \alpha \). To perform the average in eq 7, we first rewrite the right-hand side as

\[
\left( \prod_{\alpha=1}^{\delta} \int \prod_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{dr}_i^n g(\mathbf{r}_i^n - \mathbf{r}_i^n) \right) \exp \left[ -\frac{B_0 n}{T} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\delta} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \delta(\mathbf{r}_i^n - \mathbf{r}_j^n) \right] \times 
\exp \left[ \mathbf{b} \sum_{\alpha} \int d\mathbf{R} \sum_i \delta(\mathbf{r}_i^n - \mathbf{R}) \sum_j \delta(\mathbf{r}_j^n - \mathbf{R}) + 
\sum_{\alpha} \int d\mathbf{R} \rho_{s,\alpha} \left( \sum_i \delta(\mathbf{r}_i^n - \mathbf{R}) \right) \right]_{av} (8)
\]

where \( \mathbf{b} = -\chi/T \) and \( \mathbf{c} = -\gamma \Gamma/T \). We have also defined

\[
\rho_{s,\alpha}(\mathbf{R}) = \sum_{i \in \mathbf{S}} \delta(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{r}_i^n) (9)
\]

as the density of exposed monomers at position \( \mathbf{R} \), i.e., the spatial pattern formed by the globule boundary. Here, \( \delta(\mathbf{r}) \) is 1 if \( \mathbf{r} = 0 \), and vanishes otherwise. We perform a Hubbard–Stratonovich transformation with respect to the field

\[
\sum_i \delta(\mathbf{r}_i^n - \mathbf{R}) (10)
\]

by introducing a conjugate field \( \psi_\alpha(\mathbf{R}) \). With this transformation, the second exponential in eq 8 becomes

\[
\int \mathcal{D} \psi_\alpha(\mathbf{R}) \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{4\mathbf{b}_\alpha} \int d\mathbf{R} \psi_\alpha^2(\mathbf{R}) \right] \times 
\exp \left[ \mathbf{b} \sum_{\alpha} \int d\mathbf{R} \psi_\alpha(\mathbf{R}) \sum_i \delta(\mathbf{r}_i^n - \mathbf{R}) \right] \times 
\exp \left[ \mathbf{c} \sum_{\alpha} \int d\mathbf{R} \psi_\alpha(\mathbf{R}) \rho_{s,\alpha}(\mathbf{R}) \right] - 
\frac{\mathbf{c}^2}{4\mathbf{b}_\alpha} \sum_{\alpha} \int d\mathbf{R} \rho_{s,\alpha}^2(\mathbf{R}) (11)
\]

The average over sequence realizations may now be easily performed, yielding an action that is highly nonlinear in \( \psi_\alpha(\mathbf{R}) \). As was done in ref 26, we retain only the first term in a high-temperature expansion of the nonlinearity. As in that work, inclusion of additional terms does not change the leading order behavior of relevant order parameters. This simplification corresponds to treating monomer types as Gaussian, rather than binary, variables, with distribution

\[
w(\mathbf{a}) = (2\pi \mathbf{a}^2)^{-1/2} \exp(-\mathbf{a}^2/2\mathbf{a}^2) (12)
\]

The variety of interaction strengths described by eq 12 might be appropriate for monomers that come into contact with a variety of relative orientations. It could also describe a heteropolymer with more than two possible monomer types.

Averaging over the effective distribution of monomer identities, and scaling the field \( \psi_\alpha(\mathbf{R}) \) by \( 2\mathbf{b} \), we obtain

\[
\langle \mathbf{Z}^n \rangle_{av} = \exp \left[ -\frac{B_0 n}{T} \int d\mathbf{R} \psi_\alpha^2(\mathbf{R}) \right] \int \mathcal{D} \psi_\alpha(\mathbf{R}) \exp[\mathbf{b} \int d\mathbf{R} \psi_\alpha^2(\mathbf{R}) + 
2\mathbf{b}^2 \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \int d\mathbf{R} \psi_\alpha(\mathbf{R}) \psi_\beta(\mathbf{R}) \rho_{s,\alpha}\rho_{s,\beta}(\mathbf{R})]_{av} (13)
\]

where \( \langle \ldots \rangle_{av} \) denotes a thermal average over the statistics of monomer links imposed by \( g(\mathbf{r}_i^n - \mathbf{r}_j^n) \). In eq 13, we have additionally introduced two fields: a single-replica density field, \( \rho_{s,\alpha}(\mathbf{R}) = \sum_i \delta(\mathbf{r}_i^n - \mathbf{R}) \), and a field describing the conformational similarity of two replicas,

\[
Q_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2) = \sum_i \delta(\mathbf{r}_i^n - \mathbf{R}_1) \delta(\mathbf{r}_i^n - \mathbf{R}_2) (14)
\]

Since density fluctuations are negligible in the globular state, \( \rho_{s,\alpha}(\mathbf{R}) \) may be approximately replaced by its mean value, \( \rho \equiv \mathbf{V}^{-1} \), where \( \mathbf{V} \) is the volume of a typical monomer. Similarly, the surface density, \( \rho_{s}(\mathbf{R}) \), is essentially fixed in the globular state. The replica overlap function, \( Q_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2) \), however, is an important measure of the population of different conformational basins of attraction. It is thus a useful order parameter to describe freezing of random heteropolymers into their lowest energy conformations.

Because the action in eq 13 explicitly involves the density of monomers at the globule surface, \( Q_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2) \) depends in principle on \( \mathbf{R}_1 \) and \( \mathbf{R}_2 \) separately, rather than simply upon \( \mathbf{R}_1 - \mathbf{R}_2 \). Nevertheless, we assume that surface effects do not strongly modify the nature of replica symmetry breaking. Replica overlap is thus considered to be a function of \( \mathbf{R}_1 - \mathbf{R}_2 \) only, so that its Fourier transform depends on a single wavevector \( \mathbf{k} \):

\[
\hat{Q}_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbf{k}) = \int d(\mathbf{R}_1 - \mathbf{R}_2) Q_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2) \times 
\exp[i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}_1 - \mathbf{R}_2)] (15)
\]

This assumption amounts to neglecting correlations between surface and volume energetics, a reasonable approximation for the copolymer model studied here.\textsuperscript{27} With a Fourier representation of \( \psi_\alpha(\mathbf{R}) \), the right-hand side of eq 13 becomes

\[
\langle \int \mathcal{D} \hat{\psi}_\alpha(\mathbf{k}) \exp[\mathbf{V} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{P}_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbf{k}) \hat{\psi}_\alpha(\mathbf{k}) \hat{\psi}_\beta(-\mathbf{k}) + 
\mathbf{V} C \sum_{\alpha} \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{P}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{k}) \hat{\psi}_\alpha(-\mathbf{k})]_{av} (16)
\]

where

\[
\mathbf{P}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{k}) = -\mathbf{b} \delta_{\alpha,\beta} + 2\mathbf{b}^2 \hat{Q}_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbf{k}) (17)
\]

In eq 16, we have omitted the first exponential of eq 13, which contributes an irrelevant multiplicative constant.
Following the analysis of ref 26, we use the replica overlap function as the order parameter for a mean field theory of heteropolymer freezing. To this end, we rewrite eq 16 as a functional integral over possible realizations of $Q_{\alpha\beta}$:

$$\langle Z^n \rangle_{av} = \int D\hat{Q}_{\alpha\beta}(k) \exp[-E\{\hat{Q}_{\alpha\beta}(k)\} + S\{\hat{Q}_{\alpha\beta}(k)\}]$$

(18)

Here, $E$ is the effective energy of a particular realization:

$$E\{\hat{Q}_{\alpha\beta}(k)\} = \int \delta^2(\hat{Q}_{\alpha\beta}(k) - \sum_i \exp(ik(r_i^\alpha - r_i^\beta)))_\text{th}$$

(19)

Similarly, $S$ is an effective entropy describing the number of conformations consistent with a particular realization of $Q_{\alpha\beta}$:

$$S\{\hat{Q}_{\alpha\beta}(k)\} = \ln\delta(\hat{Q}_{\alpha\beta}(k) - \sum_i \exp(ik(r_i^\alpha - r_i^\beta)))_\text{th}$$

(20)

We will approximate the integral in eq 18 by optimizing the free energy with respect to replica overlap.

We imagine that a hierarchy exists for basins of attraction in conformation space, as is done in the theory of spin glasses. If it is then natural to sort replicas into groups, such that replicas belonging to the same group overlap most strongly. This grouping determines the structure of $Q_{\alpha\beta}$. If $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are in the same group, $Q_{\alpha\beta}(R_1, R_2)$ is nearly $\delta(R_1 - R_2)$. If $\alpha$ and $\beta$ belong to widely different groups, $Q_{\alpha\beta}(R_1, R_2)$ is 0. Within our approach, the one-step replica symmetry breaking demonstrated in ref 26 is not altered by the solvation energetics in eq 4, because the scaling properties of $Q_{\alpha\beta}$ are unchanged. Consequently, overlap between replicas is binary:

$$\hat{Q}_{\alpha\beta}(k) = \begin{cases} \rho, & \text{for } \alpha, \beta \text{ in the same group}, \\ 0, & \text{for } \alpha, \beta \text{ in different groups} \end{cases}$$

(21)

Note that $\hat{Q}_{\alpha\beta}(k)$ is independent of $k$, since replica overlap is either absent or microscopically complete. Together with the number of replicas in each group, eq 22 specifies $\hat{Q}_{\alpha\beta}(k)$ completely.

The limit $n \to 0$ in eq 6 is most conveniently taken using a continuous representation of the replica overlap matrix. In this limit, the “number” of replicas in a group, $x_0$, lies between 0 and 1, and summations over replica indices are replaced by integrations on the interval $[0, 1]$. The first term in eq 20 was computed in ref 26 using the continuous form of $Q_{\alpha\beta}$ introduced by Parisi:

$$\ln(\det P_{\alpha\beta}) = \ln b + \frac{\ln(1 - \gamma x_0)}{x_0}$$

(23)

where $\gamma = 2b\pi^2$. We evaluate the second term in eq 20 using identities derived in ref 28 for the Parisi matrix

$$\sum_{\alpha,\beta} \hat{\rho}_\alpha(k)^2 P_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(k) = \frac{n}{b(1 - \gamma x_0)}$$

(24)

where $A$ is the surface area of the globule. The loss of entropy due to the grouping of replicas described by $Q_{\alpha\beta}$ was also computed in ref 26 as $S = Nn x_0$. Here, $S$ is the entropy loss per monomer of constraining a replica to correspond to other replicas in its group at a microscopic level. Combining these results, and noting that wavevector summations contribute only unimportant factors of volume, we obtain the replica free energy density:

$$\mathcal{F}(x_0) = \ln b + \frac{\ln(1 - \gamma x_0)}{x_0} - \frac{c^2}{4b}(1 - \gamma x_0)^{-1}A - \frac{s}{x_0}$$

(25)

Equation 25 differs from the corresponding result in ref 26 only by the term proportional to $A/N \sim N^{-1/3}$.

We now employ a mean field approximation by optimizing the free energy density with respect to $x_0$. (Because the number of pairs of replicas is negative in the limit $n \to 0$, the appropriate extremum is in fact a maximum of $F(x_0)$. To lowest nonvanishing order in $x_0$, the mean field solution is

$$x_0 = \gamma^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{2s}{1 + c^2 a/2bN}}$$

(26)

From eq 26, we may identify the transition temperature, $T_c$, may be identified at which freezing occurs, i.e., at which $x_0$ first deviates from unity:

$$T_c = (2s)^{-1/2}(-2\gamma u^2 + \frac{\Gamma^2 A}{4bN}) + O(N^{-2/3})$$

(27)

Comparing this result with eq 3.9 of ref 26, we find that the solvation term in eq 4 raises $T_c$ by an amount $N^{-1/3}$.

Together with the average energy of non-native conformations, the freezing temperature in eq 27 determines the parameters of a random energy model corresponding to the random heteropolymer. The effective distribution of energies is given by

$$P(E) = \frac{2\pi^{3/2}}{2} \exp[(-E - \bar{E})^2/\Delta^2]$$

(28)

where $\Delta = \sqrt{2}\mathcal{F}_c$ and $\bar{E} = B_0 N$. This distribution is dominated by states in the interval $E \leq N^{1/2} E < E + N^{1/2} \Delta$. At energies just below a critical value, $E^* \leq E \leq N^{1/2} \Delta$, the number of states is $O(1)$, while just above $E^*$ the number is exponentially large. The ground states of particular random sequences are distributed narrowly about $E^*$. Solvation thus lowers the average ground-state energy by an amount $(\Gamma^2 a/2bN)^{1/2} A$. Solvation of the globule surface selects a ground state from the set of conformations with monomer interaction energies $E < E^* + N^{1/2} \Delta$. This selection is illustrated in Figure 4. If the energy scale of solvation is small, $|\Gamma| \ll |\chi|$, the shift in ground-state energy will be a negligible fraction of the optimum surface energy, $\Gamma \Delta$. In this case, the set of low-energy conformations from which to select is small, and it is unlikely that one of these conformations presents a predominantly solvophilic surface. If, on the other hand, $|\Gamma| \approx |\chi|$, solvation can be an important factor in determining the ground state. In this case, there is a reasonable probability that a
conformation with \( E < E^* + \Gamma N^{2/3} \) has favorable solvation energy. Here, the shift in ground-state energy will be comparable to \( \Gamma p A \), and the surface of the native state will be largely solvophilic. This solvation effect does not strongly influence the freezing behavior studied in ref 26. However, it does represent the energetic contribution most sensitive to variations in sequence composition. It will therefore be significant for our analysis of necklace structures.

**B. Coil.** For the coil state of a heteropolymer, we must add solvation energetics to the free energy in eq 2. For simplicity, we consider only random sequences whose total composition is fixed by \( \Sigma_i z_i = 0 \). Since nearly all monomers are exposed to solvent in the expanded coil state, this constraint causes the solvation energy in eq 4 to vanish. Thus, the free energy of this heteropolymeric coil is identical to that of the homopolymeric coil discussed in section II. In the next section, we consider necklace structures of a random heteropolymer. In these structures, short segments of the chain exist in coiled states. The sequence composition of these exposed segments is not constrained, and the solvation energy need not vanish. We will show this to be an important stabilizing effect for necklace structures.

**C. Necklace structures.** We analyze the stability and distribution of necklace structures by focusing on their constituent compact and expanded subunits separately. However, for a particular realization of random sequence, the numbers and sizes of these subunits do not alone provide an adequate description of structure. Because of the heterogeneity of monomer interactions, the energy of a necklace depends as well on the arrangement of subunits within the sequence. This dependence on arrangement, \( x \), can be represented as the effect of an external, random potential \( u(x) \) with fluctuations of size \( \Delta u \), as illustrated in Figure 3. If \( \Delta u \) is large, it is likely that a particular arrangement lies much lower in energy than others. Over some range of stretching forces, it is possible that such low-energy necklace structures are preferred over pure globule and coil states. In this case, necklaces will play a significant role in the response to stretching. Our analysis of heteropolymeric necklaces is guided by this perspective. First, we characterize the statistics of an effective random potential. We then identify situations in which quenched disorder stabilizes necklace structures significantly.

Although we take sequence composition to be fixed for the chain as a whole, the composition \( q \) of local regions is distributed according to

\[
p(q) = \exp\left( -\frac{1}{2} q^2 (\frac{1}{M} - \frac{1}{N})^{-1} \right)
\]

\[
q = \frac{1}{M_{i \in \text{segment}}} \sigma_i
\]

where \( M \) is the sequence length of a segment. Because different segments of the sequence have different compositions, their local free energetics will vary. In a region with composition \( q \), the apparent distribution of monomer types is modified from eq 12

\[
w(a;q) = \exp[-(a_i - q)^2/2(1 - q^2)\mu^2]
\]

These modified sequence statistics alter the local distribution of energies, for both globule and coil subunits.

In the context of the random energy model discussed in section III.A, the variation in local sequence statistics modulates the mean and variance of conformational energies. For any particular realization of the heteropolymer sequence, each segment of the chain has a different composition and thus, in effect, a different associated random energy model. A globular subunit will therefore have a different ground-state energy for each possible location in the sequence. Specifically, the local value of \( q \) shifts the mean energy by an amount \( \chi q^2 p M + \Gamma q p A \), and reduces the variance of monomer identities, \( \mu^2 \), by a factor \( 1 - q^2 \). As a result, the characteristic ground-state energy in a sequence region with composition \( q \) is

\[
E^* = B_{\rho} M + \chi q^2 p M + \Gamma q p A + \chi \mu^2 (1 - q^2) p M + O(M^{1/2})
\]

Variations in \( E^* \) along the sequence contribute to the random potential \( u(x) \). The magnitude of this contribution is computed by averaging variations in \( E^* \) over the distribution of \( q \) in eq 29:

\[
\sqrt{\langle (\delta E^*)^2 \rangle_q} \sim \Gamma \mu p M^{1/6}
\]

Fluctuations in \( u(x) \) due to energetics of a globular region of length \( M \) thus arise from solvation at leading order, and grow rather slowly with increasing \( M \).

Variations in the solvation of coil regions are more sizable. In a region of length \( M \) and composition \( q \), the solvation energy is \( \Gamma q M \). Fluctuations of this energy are of size \( \Gamma \mu M^{1/2}(1 - M/N)^{-1/2} \). These variations are considerably larger than those of globule energetics for large \( M \), and set the scale of \( \Delta u \). We show below that this solvation effect is sufficient to stabilize necklace structures for long but finite chains.

We focus on necklace structures including \( m \) globular regions, each with \( M \) monomers. Thermodynamics of this class of structures may be approximated by drawing \( \Omega \) values at random from a Gaussian distribution with variance \( \Delta u^2 \). Here, \( \Omega \) is the number of statistically independent arrangements of the globular regions, \( \Omega \approx [M^{-m}(N - M + 1)(N - 2M + 1) \cdots (N - mM + 1)]/m! \). The thermodynamics of large systems with independently distributed random energies are well-known. In this case, the free energy of spontaneous fluctuations in the random potential \( u(x) \) is given by
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\[ F_{\text{rand}}(M, m) = \begin{cases} -\ln \Omega \left[ 1 + \frac{T}{T_{c}} \right]^2, & T > T_c \\ -2 \ln \Omega T, & T \leq T_c \end{cases} \] (34)

In eq 34, \( T_{c} = \frac{T_{c}}{mM}^{1/2}(1 - 1/mM)^{1/2} / (2 \ln \Omega)^{1/2} \) is the temperature at which globular regions become localized in the sequence. For \( T < T_{c} \), the necklace has a frozen arrangement of subunits, and does not reorganize. Combining eq 34 with eqs 1 and 2, we obtain the total free energy of a necklace structure,

\[ F_{\text{neck}}(M, m) = mF_{g}(M) + F_{N - m}\text{M} + F_{\text{rand}}(M, m) \] (35)

The pure globule and coil states of a heteropolymer are also described by eq 35 for \( M = N \) and \( M = 0 \), respectively.

The scaling of eq 34 suggests that the distribution of globular region sizes may be quite broad. For a necklace with small globules (\( M \ll N \)), \( F_{\text{rand}} \) is optimized with a large number of regions. However, in order to avoid a macroscopic surface tension, \( mM \) must still be much less than unity. With this constraint, \( F_{\text{rand}} \) is roughly proportional to \( N^{1/2} \). For large globules, \( m = O(1) \) due to the requirement that \( mM \ll N \). In this case as well, \( F_{\text{rand}} \sim N^{1/2} \). This scaling indicates that a broad ensemble of globule sizes may be important at a single thermodynamic state. This possibility is demonstrated in Figure 5, in which the distribution of globule sizes, \( p(M) \propto \exp[-\Sigma_{m}F_{\text{neck}}(M, m)/T] \), is plotted as a function of \( M \) for a thermodynamic state at which necklaces predominate. Here, primed sums are restricted to \( m \leq N/M \). The weight of necklaces consisting of a single large globule is comparable to that of necklaces comprised of many small globules. As a result, fluctuations in polymer extension are considerable at this state.

We determine a phase diagram for stretching of random heteropolymers by computing the total fraction of monomers belonging to globular subunits, \( \phi_{g} \):

\[ \phi_{g} = \sum_{M} \sum_{m} m\text{M} \exp[-F_{\text{neck}}(m, M)/T] \]

\[ \sum_{M} \sum_{m} \exp[-F_{\text{neck}}(m, M)/T] \] (36)

In contrast to the homopolymer scenario, the transition from globule (\( \phi_{g} = 1 \)) to coil (\( \phi_{g} = 0 \)) need not be sharp (first order). We thus characterize the boundaries of these states by the arbitrarily chosen contours \( \phi_{g} = 0.95 \) and \( \phi_{g} = 0.05 \), respectively. For \( 0.95 > \phi_{g} > 0.05 \), necklace structures are prevalent. Results are plotted in Figure 6. For \( N = 100 \), the intermediate necklace regime is quite broad. This result is consistent with simulated stretching of a short (\( N = 27 \)) random heteropolymer, suggesting that intermediates states described in ref 6 should be identified with the necklace structures we consider here. However, the stabilization of necklaces arising from \( F_{\text{rand}} \) scales only as \( N^{1/2} \), and is overwhelmed for long chains by \( O(N) \) contributions of the first two terms in eq 34. The range of stretching forces over which necklaces are stable is therefore significantly diminished for \( N = 1000 \), and vanishes as \( N \rightarrow \infty \). Stretching behavior of infinitely long random heteropolymers is indistinguishable from that of homopolymers. However, for chain lengths relevant to macromolecules, necklace structures can play an important role. The large fluctuations in extension accompanying these structures explains the observed stretching behavior of proteins such as barnase\(^8\) that are not designed for mechanical functions.

**D. Sequence Statistics.** In the previous section we showed that the distribution of local sequence compositions plays an important role in the stretching of heteropolymers with uncorrelated random sequences. Introducing correlations into the sequence statistics will alter this distribution, and may therefore affect stretching behavior strongly. Here we consider three simple, prototypical forms of correlated statistics.

When monomers of the same type are likely to be found within a correlation “length” \( \xi \) in the sequence, clusters of like monomers occur with high probability. The weight of necklace structures is clearly enhanced for such blocky sequences, since regions of the chain with unfavorable solvation energy may be almost completely shielded from the solvent. Specifically, the distribution of local sequence compositions is nearly binary and independent of region size \( M \) for \( M < \xi \):

\[ p(q) = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{q1} + \delta_{q-1}) \] (37)

As a result, fluctuations in solvation energy of exposed coil regions are of size \( T_{\xi} \). If \( \xi \sim N \), the free energy stabilizing necklace structures is also macroscopic, \( F_{\text{rand}} \sim N \). With this macroscopic stabilization, the necklace phase will be stable over a finite range of \( f \) even as \( N \rightarrow \infty \).

When correlations in monomer type decay algebraically, rather than exponentially, similarly dramatic fluctuations in local composition are possible. Specifically, power law correlations with decay exponent \( \eta \) yield \( \langle \delta q \rangle^2_q \sim N^{-\eta} \). Because the sequence is one-dimensional, fluctuations are enhanced only for \( \eta \leq 1 \). At the crossover (\( \eta = 1 \)), \( \langle \delta q \rangle^2_q \sim N^{-1} \) in \( M \), providing a weak stabilization of necklaces. But as \( \eta \rightarrow 0 \), clusters of like monomers may be arbitrarily large. In this limit, necklace stabilization again becomes macroscopic.
molecules with widely distributed hydrophobic groups. The compact native structures of such molecules generally include important contacts linking distant segments of the chain. It is in part this topology imposed by nonlocal contacts that provides a collective resistance to strain. Indeed, a common structural motif of mechanical proteins, $\beta$-sheet secondary structure, is typically rich in nonlocal hydrophobic contacts. The elements of sequence statistics we predict to be favorable for mechanical strength are thus related to topological features of the native state suggested by computer simulations. It will be interesting to see how these basic principles compare with simulations of evolutionary design for mechanical strength. For commonly used, coarse-grained models of proteins, such simulations should be feasible using current computational resources and are currently underway in our laboratory.
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