Determination of Excited-State Energies and Dynamics in the B Band of the Bacterial Reaction Center with 2D Electronic Spectroscopy
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ABSTRACT: Photosynthetic organisms convert photon energy to chemical energy with near-unity quantum efficiency. This occurs through charge transfer in the reaction center, which consists of two branches of pigments. In bacteria, both branches are energy-transfer pathways, but only one is also an electron transfer pathway. One barrier to a full understanding of the asymmetry is that the two branches contain excited states close in energy that produce overlapping spectroscopic peaks. We apply polarization-dependent, 2D electronic spectroscopy to the B band of the oxidized bacterial reaction center. The spectra reveal two previously unresolved peaks, corresponding to excited states localized on each of the two branches. Furthermore, a previously unknown interaction between these two states is observed on a time scale of ∼100 fs. This may indicate an alternative pathway to electron transfer for the oxidized reaction center and thus may be a mechanism to prevent energy from becoming trapped in local minima.

SECTION: Biophysical Chemistry and Biomolecules

In photosynthesis, absorbed sunlight is converted to chemical energy with near-unity quantum efficiency.1,2 After absorption, which occurs primarily in the antenna complexes in the outer regions of the photosynthetic apparatus, the excitation energy is transferred to a central location, the reaction center. In the reaction center, an initial charge separation event occurs, which initiates a subsequent chain of electron-transfer reactions.3,4 The antenna complexes and the reaction center are pigment protein complexes (PPCs), which consist of densely packed pigments surrounded by a protein matrix. Although antenna complexes exhibit a large amount of architectural and size diversity,5–8 the molecular structure of the reaction center is highly conserved across species.9 The bacterial reaction center (bRC) is an ideal model system for studying the functionality of reaction centers because it has been extraordinarily well-characterized by numerous spectroscopic techniques, biochemical experiments, and structural studies.1,4 The bRC consists of two branches of chromophores, called A and B, that are arranged with pseudo-C2v symmetry (shown in Figure 1a). Each branch contains two bacteriochlorophylls (BChl), a bacteriopheophytin (BPheo), and a quinone (Q), with a carotenoid found next to the B branch.9,10 The linear absorption spectrum, shown in Figure 1b, exhibits a series of well-separated peaks. Most of these peaks contain two states, one from each of the two branches. The two branches are structurally similar, and both serve as efficient energy-transfer pathways, meaning the excitation moves up the branches to the two BChls known as the special pair (labeled as P in Figure 1a), where charge separation is usually initiated. Strong pigment—pigment interactions, which have been predicted theoretically and observed experimentally, give rise to these energy-transfer processes.11,12 Upon charge separation, however, electron transfer occurs only down the A branch to QA.13,14 From QA, the electron transfers to QB, after which, when QB is fully reduced, it leaves its binding pocket to drive downstream biochemistry.3 Extensive investigations into the structure, biochemistry, and photophysics of the bRC15–19 have examined the differences in protein environment and the resultant functional asymmetry. Despite this effort, the differences in excited-state energies and dynamics remain incompletely described.

Two-dimensional electronic spectroscopy maps the electronic structure and dynamics of condensed phase systems.20–22 Two-dimensional spectra are frequency—frequency
correlation plots, where the dependence of emission energy on excitation energy is represented for a selected set of time delays between excitation and emission events. These plots display excited-state energies, excited-state couplings, and energy transfer with femtosecond time resolution. From the resultant enhanced spectral resolution across both the excitation and emission axes, this technique can reveal features that are buried in other linear and nonlinear spectroscopies. In particular, the antidiagonal elongation in the nonrephasing component of 2D spectra provides a means to separate closely spaced excited states.

Here we describe 2D experiments on the B band of the oxidized bacteriochlorophyll (BChl) (the peak at ∼800 nm or 12 500 cm⁻¹, in Figure 1b, arising from the BChl labeled as Bₐ and Bₜ in Figure 1a). Under high light conditions, a large percentage of the reaction centers are oxidized (closed), and if left unquenched, excited BChl can convert to a triplet state, which can generate deleterious reactive oxygen species. Regenerating the reaction center carries both metabolic (production of new pigments) and opportunity (lost charge separation events during regenerative time) costs. Understanding the dynamics in the oxidized bRC can reveal how it is protected in the absence of the electron transfer pathway. We have investigated the two states proximal to the site of charge separation, the Q₁ (Sₓ → S₁) transitions of Bₐ and Bₜ, which correspond to the final steps in the energy transfer chain before the excitation reaches the oxidized special pair. These two states appear as a single peak in linear and nonlinear spectra, which has obscured efforts to investigate their separate dynamics. Spectroscopic studies have, however, indirectly indicated differences in the energies of Bₐ and Bₜ. Three-pulse photon echo peak shift (3PEPS) experiments observed two separate bath correlation time scales within the B band of 60 and 90 fs determined under 790 and 810 nm excitation, respectively. Additionally, results from transient absorption experiments with both oxidized and neutral bRCs suggested that, after excitation of the B band, energy transfer along the A branch is slightly faster. Transient absorption spectra suggest an alternate charge-separation pathway, with an initial state of Bₐ⁻Hₓ⁺, that forms only along the A branch. As this charge-separated state has been observed primarily in the Hₓ region, this branch-specific effect will fall outside the spectral window of the experimental results discussed in this work. Here we exploit the spectral resolution in excitation and emission provided by 2D spectroscopy as well as the antidiagonal elongation seen in nonrephasing 2D spectra to achieve direct observation of two separate excited-state energies for the first time and relaxation dynamics for the two states within the B band.

Figure 1. Structural model and linear absorption of the bacterial reaction center. (a) Structure of the bacterial reaction center from Rb. sphaeroides as determined by X-ray crystallography (PDB code: 2J8C). For clarity, the phytol tails of all bacteriochlorins are truncated. The two branches of pigments both transfer photoenergy to the special pair, P. Upon charge separation, electrons transfer down the A branch. (b) Linear absorption spectrum of the oxidized bacterial reaction center from Ga-strain Rb. sphaeroides at 77 K. The excitation laser spectrum is shown as the red line.

Two-dimensional real, nonrephasing spectra of the Q₁ region are shown in Figure 2. In the linear absorption spectrum shown in Figure 1b, the two B-band states appear as one peak centered close to 800 nm (12 500 cm⁻¹). In the nonrephasing component of the 2D spectra, two distinct excited states and the dynamics of these two states can be observed. As labeled in the T = 40 fs spectrum, the 2D nonrephasing spectra exhibit two separate positive, diagonal peaks, corresponding to the two states in the B band that are labeled D₁ and D₂ in the T = 40 fs spectrum. Energy transfer between these two states appears in the increase in intensity of the cross-peak labeled CP in the T = 70 fs spectrum. The T = 100 and 120 fs begin to show energy transferring out of band, first from D₁.

Figure 2. Real, nonrephasing 2D spectra of the B band at selected waiting times at 77 K taken under the all-parallel polarization. Each spectrum is normalized to its own maximum. These spectra exhibit two separated states along the diagonal, labeled D₁ and D₂ in the T = 40 fs spectrum. Energy transfer between these two states appears in the increase in intensity of the cross-peak labeled CP in the T = 70 fs spectrum. The T = 100 and 120 fs begin to show energy transferring out of band, first from D₁.
discussed here. On the basis of previous assignments, D1 most likely corresponds to the B\(_{\text{h}}\) transition, and D2 most likely corresponds to the B\(_{\text{a}}\) transition.\(^{26,30}\) Using polarized linear absorption on neutral bRC crystals, the excited-state energies were determined to be 800 nm (12 500 cm\(^{-1}\)) for B\(_{\text{h}}\) and 810 nm (12 345 cm\(^{-1}\)) for B\(_{\text{a}}\), which compare favorably to our values of 12 450 and 12 325 cm\(^{-1}\).\(^{30}\) The small shifts may be from the ESA peaks that contribute to nonlinear spectra or from the change in local environment due to formation of P\(^{+}\).

Energy transfers out of the B band (to states localized on P\(^{+}\)) by the \(T = 300\) fs spectrum (not shown). This is also in accordance with previous results, in this case transient absorption measurements.\(^{27}\) As energy transfers out of D1 and D2, the positive signal from stimulated emission decreases and so cancels out less and less of the negative ESA, resulting in an increase in relative intensity of both negative peaks. However, a second notable feature from the separation of the two peaks is that, as seen in the \(T = 120\) fs spectrum in Figure 2, energy transfers out of the D1 state at a slightly faster rate than out of D2.

In the early time spectra (<100 fs), population moving between these two states can be observed by the presence of cross-peaks connecting these two transitions. (The below-diagonal peak is labeled as CP in the \(T = 70\) fs spectrum.) There are cross-peaks above and below the diagonal, indicating population transfer in both directions between the two states in the B band. The maximum intensity of CP occurs at \(T = \sim 70\) fs, as population then transfers out of band with a similar time scale as D1. Two distinct transitions as well as interactions between them were not previously observed with other techniques.

The polarization dependence of CP provides further evidence of energy transfer and a greater ability to quantify energy transfer.\(^{37}\) We investigate the cross-peak below the diagonal. Within a 2D spectrum, each peak is scaled by an orientational prefactor based on the angles between the transition dipole moments in the molecular frame and the angles between the laser pulse polarizations in the lab frame. This has been extensively described elsewhere.\(^{24,31\text{--}35}\) Except in the case of energy transfer between a donor and acceptor with parallel transition dipole moments, cross-peaks will scale differently with changes to the polarization of the incident beams than will diagonal peaks corresponding to absorption and emission from the same state. Spectra were recorded under the all-parallel (0,0,0,0) and cross-peak-specific (\(\pi/3, -\pi/3, 0,0\)) polarization sequences, which are polarization sequences that maximize intensities for energy-transfer steps between parallel transitions and between perpendicular transitions, respectively. We refer to the latter as the cross-peak-specific sequence. Absolute value, nonrephasing spectra taken under the cross-peak-specific polarization sequence are shown in the Supporting Information. The change in scaling of energy-transfer peaks relative to diagonal peaks under these two polarization sequences has been described in detail in previous work.\(^{24,34}\)

Horizontal slices at the emission energy of D1 (12 325 cm\(^{-1}\)) are shown in Figure 3 for both the parallel and cross-peak-specific polarization sequences. CP contains intensity from both energy transfer and the dispersive tails of the diagonal peaks. In the all-parallel slices, there are similar relative amplitudes of CP and D1, and compression and spectral fluctuations produce the small intensity fluctuations as a function of waiting time. Under the cross-peak-specific polarization, however, the suppression of the diagonal peaks also suppresses the dispersive tails. This allows a relative enhancement of energy-transfer peaks, and the energy-transfer step appears much more clearly. Specifically, there is a clear increase in relative intensity as amplitude moves from D1 to D2, or as the cross-peak grows in, as shown in Figure 3b. The CP increases in relative intensity between 40 and 150 fs. This strongly suggests that energy transfer occurs in \(\sim 100\) fs. If there was no population transfer between these two states, then the difference in polarization sequence would not change the relative intensities of the two peaks. These spectra provide, for the first time, direct evidence of interaction between the two states within the B band.

Whereas the spectra show that amplitude initially on one state in the B band ends up localized on the other, the underlying mechanism remains unknown. There are several possibilities, which we will now discuss, along with an evaluation of their probability. The simplest possibility is that energy could transfer directly from B\(_{\text{h}}\) to B\(_{\text{a}}\). On the basis of the calculated B\(_{\text{a}}\) to B\(_{\text{h}}\) coupling (\(J = 45\) cm\(^{-1}\)),\(^{38}\) the energy gap between the two excited states (125 cm\(^{-1}\)), and the reorganization energy due to electron–phonon coupling (80 cm\(^{-1}\)), an energy-transfer time scale of a few hundred femtoseconds would be expected. This determination of a rough time scale was made by comparison to the extensive theoretical modeling of each energy-transfer step in the Fenna–Matthews–Olson (FMO) complex.\(^{36}\) Therefore, the sub-100 fs time scale observed experimentally most probably does not arise from standard energy transfer between the two states.

The second possibility is some component of the energy transfers before localization occurs. The energy eigenstates, or
excitons, are delocalized excited states constructed from linear combinations of the excited states of the individual BChl. Calculations on the oxidized bRC have produced the two excitons localized primarily on the B band. The major site basis contributions to these two states are 0.52 and 0.15 from B₉₀₀, 0.21 and 0.74 from B₉₂, and 0.16 and 0.06 from Pₙ₂⁻ (one of the states localized on the oxidized special pair).³⁵ Therefore, these two eigenstates, which are the initially excited states, both have contributions from B₉₀₀, B₉₂, and Pₙ₂⁻. Previous experimental and theoretical work has shown that energy transfer can occur rapidly (∼100 fs) in the event of spatial overlap between excitons. Energy-transfer rates are determined by a balance of electronic coupling and electron–phonon coupling, which is coupling to the protein bath.³⁷,³⁸ When the electron–phonon coupling is greater than the electronic coupling, the excitation localizes and energy transfer occurs via hopping from one state to another. There is a time scale associated with localization as phonon reorganization dynamics take place after excitation or re-equilibration of the nuclei in response to the electronic excitation. Before localization, some component of the population can exploit the spatial overlap of these two excitons and transfer rapidly between them.

A third possibility is that the population transfers via two individual energy-transfer steps. Theoretical results have shown several weakly optically allowed states localized on P⁵⁻, the oxidized special pair, that have energies close to the B band.³⁵ Therefore, energy can transfer from B₉₀₀ first to these states on P⁵⁻, and then to B₉₂. In the case of two sequential incoherent energy transfer steps, the first step (B₉₀₀ to P⁵⁻) is 200 fs. The second step is longer because although the P⁵⁻ to B₉₂ rate cannot be directly measured, the B₉₂ to P⁵⁻ rate, which should be faster because it is a downhill transfer, is 400 fs.²⁹ These two time scales make it unlikely that a component would be visible via this pathway in <100 fs.

The fourth possibility is that there is a coherent sequence of B₉₀₀ de-excitation, P⁵⁻ excitation and de-excitation, and finally B₉₂ excitation. With this sequence, the rate can increase according to a superexchange or a “through bond” mechanism, where a linker can mediate indirect coupling between two states. Energy transfer from B₉₀₀ to B₉₂ can be mediated by these P⁵⁻ states serving as a bridge.⁴¹ Experimental and theoretical work has shown that superexchange can produce drastic increases in energy and electron transfer rates.

At this point, there is no direct experimental tool to determine whether superexchange or direct energy transfer gives rise to the observed peak. Regardless of mechanism, the experimental results suggest that there is more interaction between the two branches than is often included in the general description of two isolated energy transfer pathways.

The transfer of amplitude from B₉₀₀ to B₉₂ observed here could offer insight into how the reaction center prevents photo-damage by using these states as an alternative pathway for excitation energy. Additionally, this transfer pathway does not interfere with the major dissipation mechanism, whereby the oxidized special pair quenches excitation energy. Photodynamic systems, however, have multiple levels of safeguards to protect themselves against damage. Whereas there are mechanisms for dissipating harmful photoproducts, such as carotenoids dissipating BChl⁶⁻ states,⁴² the energy-transfer pathways are designed to minimize the initial formation of these photoproducts. One mechanism by which this is accomplished is by ensuring that the excitation does not remain trapped in local minima. Experimental and theoretical results show that in purple bacteria around 20% of photoenergy that reaches the bRC is detrapped from the bRC.⁵⁴–⁵⁷ Calculations suggest that only 13% of the detrapped photo-energy is re trapped by the same bRC. Instead, the vast majority migrates to other bRCs.⁴⁷ The pathway observed here may aid in preventing the accumulation of photoproducts because the excitation does not remain trapped on a single BChl but can move around the bRC. Either of the BChl could be better positioned for the excitation to transfer back to LH1, depending on PPC to PPC variation in site basis contributions, energies, and transition dipole moments of the low-energy excited states due to protein fluctuations. From LH1, the excitation can then transfer to neighboring antenna and bRCs.

By exploiting the anti-diagonal elongation of 2D non-rephasing spectra, the energies of the two distinct, previously inseparable states within the B band were determined, and transfer of amplitude most simply described as energy transfer between these two states was observed for the first time. Furthermore, the energy-transfer process was characterized by comparing results taken under the all-parallel and cross-peak-specific polarization sequences. The observation of a second energy-transfer pathway may inform on how excitations can easily migrate around the photosynthetic apparatus, thus preventing the formation of deleterious photoproducts. The observation of two separated excited states directly displays the difference in electronic structure of the two branches and thus provides a much more direct reporter of difference in the effective molecular structure of the two branches. The observed excited-state energies and dynamics can benchmark microscopic modeling of how small differences in molecular structure, that is, differences between the two branches, give rise to tuned pigment—pigment or pigment—protein couplings. Overall, these results illustrate the wealth of information provided by the addition of spectral resolution along both excitation and emission axes provided by 2D spectroscopy and the potential to access previously unknown dynamics through the extension of the technique into polarized pulse sequences.

### EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

Previously described methods were followed in preparing and isolating the reaction centers of *Rhodobacter sphaeroides*, strain Ga.⁴⁸ The samples were suspended in 20 mM Tris HCl and 0.1% LDAO buffer (pH 8.0), and 100 mM K₃Fe(CN)$_₆$ was added to the buffer to oxidize the primary electron donor, P. The sample was diluted 30:70 (v/v) with glycerol and cooled to 77 K. The OD at 800 nm was 0.2 to 0.3 per 200 µm.

A home-built Ti:sapphire regenerative amplifier, seeded by a home-built Ti:sapphire oscillator, produces a 3.4 kHz pulse train of 45 fs pulses centered at 805 nm with 27 nm of bandwidth, as measured by SHG-FROG.⁴⁹ The energy on the sample from each of beams 1, 2, and 3 was 4 nJ per pulse, and beam 4 was attenuated by four orders of magnitude. The beams were focused to a 70 µm beam waist. For the polarization experiments, true zero-order waveplates (CVI) were inserted into beams 1 and 2 and set with a precision of ±2°. All measurements were performed at 77 K.

The details of the experimental apparatus, data acquisition, and analysis have been described in detail elsewhere.⁴⁹ The laser beam is split into four beams using a beamsplitter and a diffractive optic. The use of the diffractive optic allows for phase stability between pulse pairs. The four ultrafast beams are incident on the sample in a box geometry. The interaction of three of the beams with the sample generates the signal,
emitted in the phase-matched direction, $k = -k_1 + k_3 + k_5$, collinear with the fourth beam, a local oscillator pulse. The local oscillator is attenuated by four orders of magnitude to ensure that it does not interact strongly with the sample. Using spectral interferometry, the signal is heterodyne-detected in the frequency domain. The measured electric field is a function of the three time delays between the pulses. The time delay between the first two pulses is known as the coherence time, $\tau$, and is controlled to interferometric precision with movable glass wedges, which were scanned from $-390$ to $390$ fs in 1.3 fs steps. Negative coherence times generate the nonrephasing signal, and positive coherence times generate the rephasing signal. Between the second and third pulses, the system evolves dynamically during a so-called “waiting time,” $T$. The second time delay, between pulse 3 and the signal emission, is the rephasing time, $t$. The frequency–frequency 2D spectrum at fixed $T$ is produced by spectrally resolving the signal along $\omega_1$ and then Fourier-transforming along the scanned coherence time axis, $\tau$. In this frequency domain representation, the spectrum directly correlates excitation and emission energies. The ensemble of PPCs evolves in a coherence during both the coherence time and the rephasing time. If the system progresses in conjugate frequencies during these two time periods, then this allows for the reversal of dephasing and the generation of a photon echo signal. To produce a nonrephasing signal, the ensemble of PPCs evolves with a phase factor of the same sign during the coherence time and the rephasing time, thus generating a free induction decay signal. The rephasing and nonrephasing signals are separated experimentally by the time ordering of pulses one and two. The signal generated over the entire scan, or the sum of the induction decay signal. The rephasing and nonrephasing signals that correlate excitation and emission energies. The ensemble of PPCs evolves in a coherence during both the coherence time and the rephasing time, thus generating a free induction decay signal. The rephasing and nonrephasing signals are separated experimentally by the time ordering of pulses one and two. The signal generated over the entire scan, or the sum of the induction decay signal. The rephasing and nonrephasing signals that correlate excitation and emission energies. The ensemble of PPCs evolves in a coherence during both the coherence time and the rephasing time, thus generating a free induction decay signal. The rephasing and nonrephasing signals are separated experimentally by the time ordering of pulses one and two. The signal generated over the entire scan, or the sum of the induction decay signal.
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