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ABSTRACT: Using molecular dynamics simulations and methods of importance sampling, we study the thermodynamics and dynamics of sodium chloride in the aqueous premelting layer formed spontaneously at the interface between ice and its vapor. We uncover a hierarchy of time scales that characterize the relaxation dynamics of this system, spanning the picoseconds of ionic motion to the tens or hundreds of nanoseconds associated with fluctuations of the liquid–crystal interface in their presence. We find that ions distort both local interfaces, incurring restoring forces that result in the ions preferentially residing in the middle of the layer. While ion pair dissociation is thermodynamically favorable, these structural and dynamic effects cause its rate to vary by over an order of magnitude through the layer, with a maximum rate significantly depressed from the corresponding bulk value. The solvation environment of ions in the premelting layer is distinct from that in a bulk liquid, being dominated by slow reorganization of water molecules and a water structure intermediate between ice and its melt.

INTRODUCTION

The mechanisms and rates of reactions at extended interfaces can be dramatically different from those of the homogeneous materials that make them up.1,2 This is especially true of reactions in water, as their mechanisms are often sensitive to the hydrogen-bonding network, which is heavily disrupted by an interface. Considerable advances have been made in understanding reactions at water–air interfaces,2–5 but ice–air surfaces remain poorly studied despite their importance in the chemistry of the polar atmosphere.6 Here, we use molecular dynamics (MD) simulations to study the paradigmatic model system of ion pair dissociation within the premelting layer of ice. We find that emergent structural and dynamic properties of the interface depress the overall dissociation rate relative to a bulk liquid, with a strong dependence on where in the layer the dissociation event occurs.

Reactions at ice interfaces contribute significantly to the chemical composition of the atmosphere.7 This influence arises from various settings and chemical cycles. Some reactions release atmospherically active species, such as the accelerated conversion of HOBH and HOCl into reactive Br2/Cl2 at the surface of ice particles in stratospheric clouds,8,9 and on sea ice.10 These halogen molecules subsequently photolyze into halogen radicals that contribute to ozone depletion. Other surface reactions remove trace gases, for example, the oxidation of SO2 to H2SO4 that contributes to ice and snow acidification.11,12 Finally, ice particles in urban settings catalyze photolysis of organic pollutant molecules, often resulting in more toxic byproducts.13

Understanding how ice–air interfaces affect chemical reactivity is therefore an important task, which remains challenging due to the complex structure of these interfaces. At typical polar temperatures, the surface of an ice crystal is covered by a thin film of disordered water molecules called the premelting layer or quasi-liquid layer.14,15 This layer forms to mitigate the large surface tension of an exposed ice crystal since the liquid-like surface structure has fewer dangling hydrogen bonds and a smaller surface dipole.16 There are several outstanding questions surrounding premelting layers, particularly regarding their lateral extent and dynamics of formation.16–19 Here, we consider how this complex, fluctuating environment affects reactivity.

Specifically, we probe the dynamics of a prototypical reaction, the dissociation of sodium chloride, in the aqueous premelting layer using classical simulation and theory. Separation of singly charged atomic ions has long been a preferred case study to understand solution-phase reaction dynamics computationally,4,20,21 partly because the forces between the ions and solvent molecules are simple and easy to model and partly because the dynamics of the reaction are intrinsically connected to those of the solvent. It is now well established that the dissociation reaction mechanism has a significant contribution from solvent degrees of freedom,21 although how best to describe this contribution in a simple reaction coordinate remains a subject of debate.22–26 NaCl dissociation is a
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To obtain a nanometer-thick premelting layer, we performed our calculations at 2 K below the ambient pressure melting temperature of TIP4P-2005. This implies a simulation temperature of 250 K. Prior to inserting the ion pair, the surface was equilibrated using a 50 ns MD simulation, where the temperature increased from 200 to 250 K at a rate of 1 K/ns, and a further 100 ns simulation with a constant temperature of 250 K. The outermost ice unit cell on each surface became disordered, producing a premelting layer approximately 7 Å thick. The remaining block of ice was 6 unit cells thick on average. Except where otherwise noted, a Langevin thermostat was used to control the temperature, with a damping time of 10 ps where we wished to study dynamics or 1 ps if only static properties were being considered. Simulations were performed using the LAMMPS package.

To describe the premelting layer, we require a means of identifying the interface between the liquid and crystal (lc) and that between the liquid and vapor (lv). On mesoscopic length scales, phases are defined through structural order parameters that manifest the globally broken symmetry associated with the phase transition. On molecular scales, an interface between two phases can be associated with the rapid spatial variation of a similar suitable local order parameter. Using the method of Willard and Chandler, we define interfaces using isosurfaces of a continuous coarse-grained order parameter field.

The coarse-grained field is obtained by defining an appropriate local order parameter \( \phi_\alpha(r) \) at a field point \( r \) to distinguish the \( \alpha = \{ lv, lc \} \) interface. Due to the discreteness of atoms and molecules, any function defined directly from atomic positions would be rapidly varying. Therefore, we convolute \( \phi_\alpha(r) \) with a Gaussian smoothing function and arrive at a smoothly varying order parameter field,

\[
\bar{\phi}_\alpha(r; \xi) = \int dr' \phi_\alpha(r') e^{-(r-r')^2/2\xi^2} \frac{(2\pi \xi^2)^{3/2}}{(2\pi \xi^2)^{3/2}}
\]

whose variation can encode the location of long-lived interfaces. The smoothing function is parameterized by a coarse-graining length scale \( \xi \), chosen to be comparable to a molecular diameter.

The construction of our model system ensures that both interfaces are roughly planar. Crystalline periodicity means that most properties of the system should be translationally invariant in the plane parallel to the interfaces, so for convenience, we will...
write simulation coordinates as \( r = \{ x, z \} \). The interface \( \alpha \) is defined locally at \( x \) as

\[
h_{\alpha}(x, t) = \max_z \delta [\phi_{\alpha}^z - \bar{\phi}_m(x, z; \xi)]
\]

(2)

where \( \bar{\phi}_m = \langle (\bar{\phi}_{\alpha}^z + \bar{\phi}_{\beta}^z)/2, \langle ... \rangle \) denotes an average over phase \( \alpha \), and \( \delta \) is Dirac’s delta function. The maximization over \( z \) acts to select the upper interface, where the ions are located. In practice, we evaluate the order parameter field on a regular Cartesian grid and locate the isosurface by linear interpolation between grid points.

Further coarse-graining can provide information on longer length scales. We define a semilocal interface height

\[
H_{\alpha}(x, t) = \frac{1}{\pi \Delta^2} \int \! dx' \, \Theta(\Delta - |x' - x|)h_{\alpha}(x', t)
\]

(3)

where \( \Theta \) is the Heaviside step function. This height, \( H_{\alpha}(x, t) \), is the instantaneous mean height of the \( \alpha \) interface in a circle of radius \( \Delta \) centered at \( x \). Both \( h_{\alpha}(x, t) \) and \( H_{\alpha}(x, t) \) fluctuate in time as molecules change their configurations.

For the liquid–vapor interface, the appropriate order parameter, \( \phi_{\alpha}(r) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta(r - r_i) \), is just the local number density computable as a sum over all of the water molecules. Here, \( r_i \) is the position vector of the water molecule \( i \)’s center of mass. We adopted the parameters \( \xi = 2.4 \text{ Å} \) and \( \phi_0 = 0.0166 \text{ Å}^{-3} \), which were previously shown to give an accurate and convenient definition of the \( \text{lv} \) interface.\(^{36}\) The liquid–crystal interface requires a more complex order parameter. The 6th-degree Steinhardt–Nelson–Ronchetti order parameter is convenient for this use.\(^{36}–38\) This function is defined as

\[
\phi_{\alpha}(r) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} q(r_i) \delta(r - r_i),
\]

where \( q(r_i) \) is a projection of the local density onto the 6th-degree spherical harmonic.

\[
q(r_i) = \left[ \sum_{m=-6}^{6} \left| \sum_{j \in \text{nn}(i)} \sum_{k \in \text{nn}(j)} Y_{6m}(\phi_{ij}, \theta_{ij}) \right|^2 \right]^{1/2}
\]

(4)

where \( Y_{6m}(\phi_{ij}, \theta_{ij}) \) is the \( l-m \) spherical harmonic function of the angular coordinates for vector \( (r_i - r_j) \), and \( m(i) \) indicates the four nearest neighbors of atom \( i \). A typical water molecule in the premelted layer has \( q = 0.22 \), very close to the equilibrium value in pure water.\(^{36}\) Previous work has shown\(^{36}\) that appropriate parameters for the \( \text{lc} \) interface are \( \xi = 2.5 \text{ Å} \) and \( \phi_0 = 0.0166 \text{ Å}^{-3} \).

Figure 1 depicts typical configurations of an ion pair in the premelting layer. The two interfaces separate the system into three clear phases as expected. Both interfaces are perpendicular to \( z \) on average but undergo substantial fluctuations out of that plane with mean-squared deviations \( \langle \delta h_{\text{lc}}^2 \rangle \approx 2 \text{ Å}^2 \) and \( \langle \delta h_{\text{lv}}^2 \rangle \approx 1 \text{ Å}^2 \), where \( \delta h = h - \langle h \rangle \). The premelting layer is defined as the volume enclosed by the \( \text{lc} \) and \( \text{lv} \) interfaces on an exposed surface of the ice block. The layer thickness is given by \( l = \langle h_{\alpha}(x, t) \rangle \). In the following, we choose the origin \( z = 0 \) such that \( h_{\alpha}(x, t) = 0 \) at 250 K in the absence of ions. The \( z \) coordinates will be expressed as fractions of \( l = \langle h_{\alpha}(x, t) \rangle \) under the same conditions.

We find that \( l(250 \text{ K}) \approx 7 \text{ Å} \) and this value does not change significantly in the presence of the ion pair, reflecting the negligible freezing point depression for the concentration considered. Our observed value of \( l \) agrees with a wide range of other water models and experimental data when expressed as a function of \( (T - T_m)/T_{\text{mp}} \) as shown in the previous work.\(^{36}\)
\[ C_{f\iota}(t) = \langle \delta H_{\iota}(x, 0)\delta H_{\iota}(x, t) \rangle \]  

for \( \Delta = 1 \text{ nm} \), representing a large enough radius to encompass the three solvation shells of the NaCl ion pair, which are believed to influence dissociation dynamics.\(^{22}\) The lv-interface autocorrelation decays within approximately 0.1 ns, comparable to the time required for a single site to decorrelate. The lc-interface autocorrelation, on the other hand, decorrelates much more slowly, at least 10 times slower than the corresponding single-site function. The average interface height retains some memory of its position for tens to hundreds of nanoseconds. This slow decay is due to rare, discontinuous jumps in the mean interface height, where a layer of water molecules melts or freezes across large regions of the ice interface.\(^{30,41}\) The long waiting time between jumps makes averaging over these fluctuations challenging, resulting in the large error estimate for \( C_{f\iota}(t) \).

To mitigate the difficulty of studying the structure and dynamics of ions in the presence of slow diffusion of the lc interface, after equilibration we restrained the water molecules located below \( z = -0.4 \ell \), attaching them to their equilibrium positions using a harmonic potential with spring constant \( k = 5 \text{ kcal} \text{ Å}^{-2} \). This spring constant permits vibrations of magnitude approximately 0.5 Å but no diffusion of the ice molecules, so that melting is suppressed. The dashed line in the middle panel of Figure 2 demonstrates that height deviations of the lc interface decay quickly in the absence of the melting event, recovering the behavior of \( C_{f\iota}(t) \). The \( z = -0.4 \ell \) limit ensures that the top bilayer of ice molecules is not affected by the restraint, so they can still freeze and melt locally over the course of thermal fluctuations without nucleating the global diffusion of the interface.

Finally, in Figure 2, we consider the vertical motion of the ions. The z-directional velocity autocorrelation function

\[ C_{v_z}(t) = \langle v_z(0)v_z(t) \rangle \]  

is largely decayed within 10 ps for each ion individually and for the center of mass of a bound ion pair. We computed the z-directional diffusivities of the ions from \( C_{v_z}(t) \) using the Green–Kubo formula,\(^ {13,44}\) obtaining values of \( D_z = 2.6 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1} \) for a free cation, \( 2.7 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1} \) for a free anion, and \( 8.9 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1} \) for the ion pair. These diffusion constants are extremely slow, approximately an order of magnitude slower than bulk ionic diffusion at 250 K and 2 orders of magnitude smaller than bulk water diffusion at ambient temperature.\(^ {44}\) These values indicate that the ionic species require approximately 10 ns to diffuse over a distance equal to their molecular diameter. Such slow diffusivities imply a very sluggish dynamic environment and illustrate the challenges of studying rare events in the premelting layer. Similar slow ion dynamics were previously observed by Hudait et al. in a different model of ice premelting.\(^ {27}\)

**Ion–Interface Spatial Correlations.** While the dynamics of the ions and interfaces are not strongly correlated, we expect that the ions and interfaces will influence each other statically. To quantify these spatial correlations, we examined the local shape of each interface near an ion. We calculated the mean deviation of the interface height from its average interface height,

\[ G_{\iota}(x) = \langle h_{\iota}(x) \rangle_{\iota} - \langle h_{\iota}(x) \rangle \]  

conditioned on a solute present at \( r_i = (0, z) \), where \( z \) is the ion’s center of mass. We consider the dependence of this correlation function on the magnitude \( x = \lvert x \rvert \). Capillary wave theory\(^ {36,45,46}\) predicts long-ranged spatial fluctuations in the position of an interface, with no characteristic length scale. To check the impact of finite size effects from these fluctuations, we performed these calculations on a system size twice as large in each of the \( x \) directions as defined in the Methods section.

When \( z \approx 1/2 \) and the ions lie near the center of the premelting layer, the average deviation of the interface from its equilibrium position is negligible. Figure 3 shows how each interface responds when the ions approach. In all panels, \( G_{\iota}(x) \to 0 \) at large \( x \), showing that the influence of the ions has a finite range. The correlation lengths associated with the height deviations are up to 1 nm for the lv interface and up to 2 nm for the lc interface, equivalent to several molecular diameters.

As the ions approach \( z = \ell \), the lc interface retains its equilibrium statistics, while the lv interface locally rises by up to 2 Å. This increase results from water molecules being driven upwards to cover the ions and screen their charge from the vacuum. Its magnitude generally increases with increasing \( z \). A notable exception occurs at \( z = 0.9 \ell \), where the ion pair actually depresses \( h_{\iota} \) relative to its instantaneous position. At this particular \( z \), the ions are frequently situated parallel to the mean lv interface and are left uncovered by the water. The ions themselves do not contribute to the interface calculation, so the uncovered ions lead to a depression in the interface.
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Conversely, when the ions approach $\bar{z} = 0$, the lv interface is unaffected, while the lc interface is locally depressed. This depression indicates local disordering of the ice structure leading to improved ion solvation. The magnitude of the deviation varies with the number of ions and $\bar{z}$ but usually falls between 1 and 4 Å. This magnitude is larger than the equivalent deviations in $h_{\text{fl}}$, indicating that the lc interface is softer and easier to deform consistently with its lower surface tension.

An ion pair or free sodium ion at $\bar{z} \approx -0.1$ creates a significant depression in the lc interface, but a free chloride ion does not. Instead, the anion incorporates into the ice surface structure, replacing one of the water molecules. Disruption of the periodic crystal structure is therefore minimized, and the height of the interface hardly changes. The cation is unable to incorporate in this way. Indeed, in general, the influence of charge density, which provides a strong bias to reorganize the surrounding water molecules. With the exception of $\bar{z} = 0.9\mu$, the effect of the ion pair is intermediate between the two free ions.

None of the distortions observed in these calculations are significantly larger than the instantaneous capillary wave fluctuations for this system. The influence of ions appears to consist of pinning the equilibrium capillary waves in place. This pinning is associated with an entropic cost of moving the ions near to the interfaces, which influences the ion thermodynamics considerably as detailed below.

**Thermodynamics of Ion Pair Dissociation.** To understand the thermodynamics of ion pairing in the premelting layer, we computed the free energy as a function of the ionic separation $R$ and center-of-mass vertical coordinate $\bar{z}$. We employed umbrella sampling using a series of simulations with an additional harmonic potential, $V_b(R, \bar{z}) = k_b/2(R - R^*)^2 + k/2(\bar{z} - z^*)^2$. These simulations were combined using the weighted histogram analysis method to compute an unbiased free energy, $F(R, \bar{z}) = -k_bT \ln P(R, \bar{z})$, where $P(R, \bar{z})$ is the probability of observing the ions at separation $R$ and height $\bar{z}$, and $k_bT$ is Boltzmann’s constant times temperature. We used 478 distinct simulations, $R^*$ varying from 2.0 to 6.0 Å and $z^*$ varying from approximately $-0.2\mu$ to $1.0\mu$. Half of the simulations used $k = k_b = 1$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ Å$^{-2}$, and the remainder employed stronger springs (up to 80 kcal mol$^{-1}$ Å$^{-2}$) to ensure adequate sampling. Simulation length was varied for the same reason, with most data sets representing 5 ns of simulation time.

Figure 4 shows the resulting free-energy landscape alongside a typical snapshot to illustrate its connection to the system geometry. For all $R$ values, the free energy is lowest near the middle of the premelting layer and increases monotonically toward each interface. This increase represents an interplay between reduced solvation, entropic penalties from pinning the interfaces, and the energy cost of disrupting the crystal structure. Approaching the lv interface, the average coordination numbers for both bound and separated ion pairs decrease and the average electrostatic potential energy on the ions from the water increases in a manner anticipated from Figure 3a, b. Upon approaching the lc interface, ion solvating water molecules reorganize, disrupting the ice structure and melting the crystal, as anticipated from Figure 3c, d.

For all $\bar{z}$ values, the free energy displays two clear basins of attraction, one for the contact ion pair (CIP) state in the range of $2.5 < R < 3.4$ Å and another for the solvent-separated pair (SSP) at around $4.5 < R < 5.5$ Å. These states are separated by a barrier that is approximately $6.5k_bT$ at $\bar{z} = 0.5\mu$ and varies by up to $3k_bT$ over the premelting layer. The free energy also exhibits a broad, shallow minimum for $R > 6.5$ Å, corresponding to completely dissociated ions. The energy barrier separating this minimum from the SSP state is very small. The free-energy change to dissociate the ions in the premelting layer implies a 6-fold decrease in the dissociation constant relative to bulk water around the same temperature and pressure, based on an independent bulk free-energy calculation.

**Dynamics of Ion Pair Dissociation.** We have shown that both static and dynamic fluctuations vary strongly through the premelting layer. Analogously, the rate of ion pair dissociation need not be constant and could similarly depend on where in the layer the rare event occurs. Since the vertical motion of the ions is so slow, we will assume that dissociation events occur at fixed ionic $z$ coordinates. We have computed transition rates from the CIP to SSP as a continuous function of $\bar{z}$, using the Bennett–Chandler approach.

We have defined the CIP reactant state and SSP product state using a dividing surface along the ionic separation distance, denoted $R^*(\bar{z})$. For each fixed value of $\bar{z}$, $R^*(\bar{z})$ is chosen as the location of the saddle point along $R$, which is close to $R = 3.7$ Å for all $\bar{z}$. The Bennett–Chandler rate is the product of a transition-state theory estimate along this dividing surface, $k_{\text{TST}}(\bar{z})$, and the transmission coefficient, $\kappa(\bar{z})$. The transition-state theory estimate is the product of an attempt frequency and the probability of reaching the dividing surface from the reactant state,

$$ k_{\text{TST}}(\bar{z}) = \frac{k_bT}{2\mu} \int_{-\infty}^{R^*(\bar{z})} dR \, e^{-\beta F(R, \bar{z})} $$

where $\mu$ is the reduced mass of the ion pair.
The transmission coefficient is defined as the long time limit of the correlation function

$$\kappa(z) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\langle \hat{R}(0) \hat{R}(t) - \hat{R}(0) \rangle}{\langle \hat{R}(0) \rangle^2 / 2}$$

(10)

which is the flux through the dividing surface conditioned on ending in the SSP state. The notation $\langle \cdots \rangle_t$ indicates an average over an equilibrium ensemble of initial conditions for which $R = R^z(\tilde{z})$, obtained from constrained simulations. In practice, this quantity is averaged independently for $R(0) > 0$ and $R(0) < 0$. For each initial condition, an ensemble of initial velocities is generated from the Maxwell–Boltzmann distribution and the corresponding trajectories are propagated for 10 ps with microcanonical trajectories, which is sufficient for the system to commit to either the reactant or product basin. The ensemble averages in eq 10 converge slowly, in our case requiring approximately 5000 trajectories. The transmission coefficients are very small, in the range of 0.01–0.05 for the different $\tilde{z}$ values.

The dissociation rate, $k(z) = k_{TST}(z)\kappa(z)$, as a function of $\tilde{z}$ is shown in Figure 5. The rate is slow near the lc interface where the ions are essentially frozen in place. The rate increases toward the layer center, where improved solvation stabilizes the transition-state configurations and then drops off toward the lv interface. The total variation over the premelting layer is over an order of magnitude. At its peak in the middle of the layer, the dissociation rate is an order of magnitude smaller than it would be in a bulk liquid at these same conditions, $k_{bulk}$. This depression of the rate reflects how different the solvation environment is from a bulk solution, even in the middle of the liquid-like layer.

At $\tilde{z} = 0$ and below, the ions must either melt large regions of ice or incorporate into the crystal structure. Both processes are associated with slow and position-dependent dynamics. Moreover, describing ionic behavior in the crystal itself would probably require relaxing our harmonic restraint on the ion molecules and hence averaging our calculations over rare layer-melting events. These considerations meant that we were unable to obtain converged rate calculations for $\tilde{z} \leq 0$. However, we note that the free-energy cost of moving an ion pair this deep into the crystal is large.

We expect $R$ to be a poor descriptor of reaction dynamics in a premelting layer. Even in bulk water, previous investigations have found that solvent degrees of freedom must be included in the reaction coordinate to well describe the transition-state ensemble. Nevertheless, the rate profile is largely determined by the $\tilde{z}$ dependence of the free energy to move along $R$, as encoded in the transition-state theory estimate. This suggests that the recrossing dynamics do not greatly affect the competition between different environments for dissociation, despite depressing the rate by up to a factor of 100.

To understand the overall scale of the rate profile, we have compared $k(z)$ to that estimated from Kramers theory in the spatial diffusion limit. In bulk water at ambient temperature, ion pair dissociation is known to have inertial components to its dynamics. However, at low temperatures within the premelting layer, small diffusivity of the ions in Figure 2 suggests that momentum correlations are damped out on the time scales of dissociation. Assuming that the dynamics are overdamped, an approximate transmission coefficient can be evaluated in the harmonic barrier limit, $k_H(z) \approx \mu_0 \alpha D(R^z, \tilde{z})/k_B T$, where $\alpha$ is the imaginary frequency associated with the free-energy barrier, and $D(R^z, \tilde{z})$ is the diffusion constant along $R$ evaluated at the top of the barrier at fixed $\tilde{z}$. We estimate $D(R^z, \tilde{z})$ following a procedure due to Hummer, valid for simulations where the reaction coordinate $R$ is restrained to a particular window with a harmonic bias potential. Specifically, an autocorrelation function of $R(t)$ is computed under a harmonic bias to remain on top of the barrier. Its value at $t = 0$ and decay time can be used to compute $D(R^z, \tilde{z})$. Both values are obtained from 10 ns constrained simulations using the biasing potentials employed in the umbrella sampling calculations. Consistent with our assumption that dissociation occurs at a fixed $\tilde{z}$ value, we found that $D(R^z, \tilde{z}) \approx D_R$. Therefore, reactive events proceed much faster than vertical ionic motion, and the dissociation processes at values of $\tilde{z}$ can be considered independent.

The Kramers rate, $k_K(z) = k_{TST}(z)k_H(z)$, accounts for recrossing due to friction along the reaction coordinate. In Figure 5, we see that this estimate agrees fairly well with $k(z)$, particularly near the two interfaces. This observation suggests that most of the observed recrossing is due to slow dynamics along the reaction coordinate, caused by the sluggish environment of the premelting layer. The biggest gap between the Kramers and Bennett–Chandler rate estimates comes in the range of $0.1 < \tilde{z} < 0.5$, perhaps indicating a more complex reaction mechanism associated with ions attaching to the ice crystal surface.

## CONCLUSIONS

The picture of the premelting layer that emerges from our simulations is that of a confined, sluggish environment, where water molecules adopt liquid-like configurations but with significant residual ice structure. Both interfaces affect the dynamics of nearby reactions through energetic and dynamic factors, and in the case of ion dissociation, both factors act to slow the reaction. The ice interface appears to be much more significant for determining reaction dynamics, in both magnitude and range of interaction.

We found that the ions significantly alter the properties of the nearby interfaces. The dynamics of both the lc and lv interfaces are dominated by capillary wave fluctuations decaying in approximately 1 ns. When an ion pair resides near the interface, these waves are pinned in place, creating a long-lived distortion
of the interface shape. In the range of ion positions that are energetically feasible, the distortions do not exceed the equilibrium fluctuation size, but the entropic cost of pinning the wave contributes to the energy barrier, which keeps the ions near the layer center. The dissociation dynamics of water molecules in the premelting layer differ significantly from those in bulk solution, even at the layer center where the water structure appears mostly liquid-like. Partially dissociated configurations are harder to solvate in the premelting layer than contact ion pairs, leading to a significantly increased dissociation energy barrier. Kinetics also play a more significant role in the premelting layer, mostly due to high friction from the slow water dynamics and residual ice-like structure. The implications of this slow, fluctuating environment and the resultant spatial dependence of solute thermodynamics and kinetics on more complex reactions relevant in the polar regions are worthy of future study.⁸–¹²
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