Photodissociation dynamics of the \( \text{N}_3 \) radical
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The photodissociation dynamics of the \( \tilde{B}\Sigma^+_u \) state of \( \text{N}_3 \) were investigated using fast radical beam photodissociation coupled with a new coincidence wedge-and-strip-anode particle detector. With this detector, detailed photofragment kinetic energy and angular distributions can be measured as a function of excitation energy. Calibration of the detector by studies of the predissociation of the \( \tilde{B}\Sigma^+_u \) state is discussed. Methods of extracting the center-of-mass kinetic energy and angular distributions are presented. The photodissociation results for \( \text{N}_3 \) show that from the vibrationless level of the \( \tilde{B}\Sigma^+_u \) state in \( \text{N}_3 \), both spin-allowed \([\text{N}_3\rightarrow\text{N}(^1D) + \text{N}_2(\Sigma_g^+)]\) and spin-forbidden \([\text{N}_3\rightarrow\text{N}(^4S) + \text{N}_2(\Sigma_g^+)]\) dissociation processes occur. Bend excitation in the \( \tilde{B}\Sigma^+_u \) state, however, enhances the spin-allowed dissociation process considerably. The kinetic energy distributions reveal partially resolved vibrational structure in the \( \text{N}_2 \) fragment, and indicate substantial rotational excitation of the \( \text{N}_2 \). This implies that bent geometries play a major role in the dissociation of the \( \text{N}_2\tilde{B} \) state. Possible mechanisms for the spin-forbidden and spin-allowed dissociation channels are discussed.

I. INTRODUCTION

The study of molecular photodissociation dynamics, first proposed 30 years ago,\(^1\) has proved to be an extremely powerful tool in gaining a fundamental understanding of the interactions among excited electronic states in diatomic and polyatomic molecules. An impressive array of experimental techniques has been developed which allow detailed characterization of the photofragments resulting from photodissociation.\(^2\) These include photofragment translational spectroscopy,\(^3-6\) in which one measures the translational energy and/or the angular distribution of the photodissociation products, state-resolved photofragment detection,\(^7\) in which the internal energy of one or more of the photofragments is spectroscopically determined, and techniques such as Doppler spectroscopy\(^8,9\) and photofragment imaging\(^10\) which yield the kinetic energy and angular distribution associated with a selected internal photofragment state.

The vast majority of photodissociation experiments performed thus far has involved stable, closed-shell molecules. In contrast, detailed measurements of photofragment properties have been made for only a handful of reactive free radicals.\(^11-14\) This is due, in large part, to the difficulties inherent in the production of well-characterized free radicals. We have recently demonstrated a new approach to free radical photodissociation in which photodetachment of a fast negative ion beam is used to produce state-selected neutral radicals for subsequent photodissociation studies.\(^15,16\) The fast-beam approach builds on studies of dissociative states of small molecules produced via charge exchange, pioneered in Los' group\(^17-19\) and used by Helm and Cosby as well.\(^20\) In our experiment, we produce state-selected polyatomic free radicals by laser photodetachment of a mass-selected fast (8 keV) negative ion beam. The free radicals are then photodissociated by a second laser, and the neutral photofragments detected with high efficiency. Since most free radicals have a positive electron affinity, and therefore a corresponding stable anion, this provides a general route to the study of the photodissociation dynamics of these species.

Thus far, we have used this method to measure the photodissociation cross section and photofragment kinetic energy release for \( \text{N}_3 \) (Ref. 15) and NCO.\(^16\) In this paper, we describe new results on \( \text{N}_3 \) obtained with a more sophisticated photofragment coincidence detection scheme, conceptually similar to that reported by Los,\(^15\) which enhances our ability to perform photofragment translational spectroscopy experiments on the products of free radical photodissociation. In particular, we obtain considerably more detailed photofragment kinetic energy and angular distributions than were attainable in our original study on \( \text{N}_3 \).

The \( \text{N}_3 \) free radical has received considerable attention in recent years due to its importance in chemical-laser pumping reactions. Research in this area is ongoing; several kinetics measurements of the reaction of \( \text{N}_3 \) with halogen atoms have recently been reported.\(^21,22\) Such studies are increasingly taking advantage of the numerous spectroscopic techniques\(^23-26\) applied to this radical since the electronic absorption spectrum [the \( \tilde{B}\Sigma^+_u \rightarrow \tilde{X}\Pi_g \) transition near 270 nm (Ref. 27)] was first recorded by Thrush\(^28\) in 1956 and assigned by Douglas and Jones\(^29\) in 1965. There has also been substantial effort devoted to an understanding of the energetics of \( \text{N}_3 \) (see Fig. 1). The most recent previous experiments to bear directly on the question of the stability of \( \text{N}_3 \) were the proton affinity studies of \( \text{N}_3 \)\(^3\) (Ref. 30) and the electron affinity studies of \( \text{N}_3 \) (Refs. 31, 32) by...
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 B 2Σu+ state lies at least 4.5 eV above the N(4S) + N2 channel, and also well above the spin-allowed N(2D) + N2 and N(2P) + N2 channels. However, there had been no studies of the gas-phase photodissociation dynamics until our recent work applying the fast beam photodissociation technique to the B 2Σu+ state.13 Our previous work showed that all levels of the B state predissociate readily on a time scale much shorter than 5 μs. In addition, our photofragment time-of-flight measurements of the kinetic energy released in the dissociation indicated that the primary dissociation products were N(2D) + N2, consistent with previous matrix-isolation studies which revealed N(2D) − N(4S) phosphorescence after ultraviolet (UV) irradiation.38 To better determine the N3 photodissociation branching ratios, and, in particular, to further investigate the possibility of spin-forbidden dissociation processes, we have re-
examine this system with our new photofragment coincidence detection scheme.

The studies reported here were also motivated by results on electronic branching in the predissociation of similar molecules. For example, we have examined predisscio- 
ation from the B 2Π state of NCO, which is isoelectronic with N3, and observed that both spin-allowed and spin-
forbidden dissociation processes play a major role in this predissociation.16 In contrast to the B 2Σu+ state in N3, the lowest vibrational levels of the NCO B 2Π state can disso-
ciate only to the spin-forbidden N(4S) + CO channel. However, once the spin-allowed N(2D) channel becomes energetically accessible it rapidly becomes the dominant dissociation pathway. In contrast, a recent study by Gуюn and co-workers29 of the predissociating A 2Σu+ state of
N3O+ (also isoelectronic with N3) suggests that the branching ratio between the spin-forbidden N(4S) + NO+ and spin-allowed N(2D) + NO+ channels varies markedly with internal excitation of the N3O+, even at energies where both channels are accessible. For example, the branching ratio is quite different for the nearly isoenergetic (101) and (300) levels of the A 2Σu+ state. Hepburn and co-workers40 have also recently found that the branching ratio between spin-forbidden and spin-allowed dissociation channels in CS2 depends strongly on the vibrational level of the dissociating CS2 state. Finally, Davis and Lee14 have seen mode-selective effects in the predissociation of the CIO2 A state. In their study, concerted elimination of O2 was promoted by both bending excitation and combina-
tions of symmetric and antisymmetric stretches. These results, and similar phenomena observed in direct photodisso-
ciation processes,41–43 present a considerable theoretical challenge as they must result from the interaction of mul-
tiple excited electronic states.

In the following sections, we describe the apparatus and the newly installed coincidence detector used in our photodissociation dynamics experiments. We then discuss calibration experiments using the predissociation of the O2 B 2Σu- state excited in the Schumann–Runge band, followed by the new N3 photodissociation results. Two methods of analyzing the raw data, a Monte Carlo forward convolution and a direct numerical analysis of the detector acceptance, are then described and applied to extract the center-of-mass kinetic energy and angular distributions from the raw data. Our results have confirmed that N(2D) is in fact the primary photoproduct, but we have observed significant N(4S) products originating from the predissociation of the lowest vibrational level of the B state. This measurement reveals that in the N3 system, both spin-
allowed and spin-forbidden dissociation can occur from the B state, with bend excitation strongly favoring the spin-
allowed product channel. We also obtain detailed N2 inter-
natural energy distributions corresponding to each N atom electronic state; these yield considerable insight into the photodissociation dynamics of the N$_3$ radical.

II. EXPERIMENT

In order to study the photodissociation of a generic free radical, ABC, we first generate a fast (8 keV) beam of the mass-selected precursor anion ABC$^-$, then photodetach the anion with a pulsed laser, forming ABC with a known internal energy distribution. The radical is photodissociated with a second pulsed laser, and the photofragments are detected,

$$ABC^--\rightarrow ABC + e^- \rightarrow A + BC, AB+C.$$  

The kinematics of fast beam dissociation are such that a large fraction of the photofragments impinge on the detector. Thus, by monitoring the total photofragment yield as a function of $h\nu_2$, we can map out the photodissociation cross section for the ABC radical. In the past, we also obtained approximate photofragment energy distributions by measuring the arrival time distribution of the fragments at the detector. The newly installed coincidence detector provides a considerably richer picture of the photodissociation dynamics than our previous measurements. This detector yields the position of the two photofragments and the time delay between their arrival, enabling us to determine the center-of-mass kinetic energy, scattering angle, and fragment mass ratio directly for each photodissociation event. The detector is conceptually similar to the design of DeBruijn and coworkers, but the position and time sensing is accomplished using a coincidence wedge-and-strip anode (C-WSA) assembly rather than by capacitive charge division.

Most features of the fast radical beam photofragment spectrometer used in this study have been previously described in detail. Below, we give a short overview of the apparatus followed by a more detailed discussion of the new detector. A schematic of the apparatus is shown in Fig. 2.

A. Fast radical beam photofragment spectrometer

In these experiments, we use O$_2$ and N$_2$ as precursors to the neutrals under study. The pulsed beam source used to produce these anions is similar to that developed by Illenberger and co-workers, and has been previously used in our laboratory. A 1 keV electron beam crosses a pulsed, free-jet expansion of either neat O$_2$ or benzyl azide seeded in Ne. Low energy secondary electrons produce N$_3$ by dissociative attachment to benzyl azide, as first shown by Illenberger and co-workers. The mechanism for O$_2$ formation is not as clear, but probably involves dissociative attachment to O$_2$ clusters, or some other many-body process. The nascent negative ions are subsequently cooled in the free-jet expansion, and then pass through a 3 mm skimmer into a differentially pumped region where they are accelerated to energies up to 8 keV. An einzell lens in the acceleration stack is used to mildly focus the ion beam. After acceleration, the anions pass into a potential switch, where they are conveniently rereferenced to ground potential, while retaining their translational energy.

After exiting the potential switch, a set of beam modulation plates is used to select a short pulse of ions for time-of-flight mass spectroscopy, as first described by Bakker. The ions then enter an axial pulsed field which is used to compress the ion pulse to allow optimum spatial and temporal overlap with the pulsed lasers. This field induces an energy spread of $\sim 70$ eV in the O$_2^-$ ion beam, but this spread of $<1\%$ in the primary beam energy has no significant effect on the experiments reported here. The ions then pass through sets of horizontal and vertical deflectors which assist in guiding the ion beam through the 1 mm apertures that define the beam axis, and a second einzell lens used for mild focusing.

At a distance of 0.86 m from the beam modulation plates, the ions pass through a 1 mm aperture into the detachment region. At the center of this region, an excimer-pumped dye laser pulse intercepts the ions at the mass of interest, resulting in the production of neutrals by photodetachment. We detach O$_2^-$ at 540 nm, using Coumarin 540 A (Exciton) laser dye, and N$_3$ is detached at 343 nm, using PTP (Exciton), with fluences of $\sim 1$ J/cm$^2$. In the case of O$_2^-$, detachment at 540 nm produces O$_2$ in both the ground $X^1\Sigma_g^+$ and first excited $a^3\Delta$ states; the vibrational distribution for the $X^1\Sigma_g^+$ state peaks at $v=2$, with significant population extending out to $v=5$. As is evident from the N$_3^-$ photodetachment spectrum, photodetachment of N$_3$ well above threshold does not excite any vibrational modes, although any vibrational excitation in the anion will be transferred to the radical upon photodetachment. The detached electrons are extracted by a 5 V pulse and detected by a 25 mm diam chevron microchannel-plate detector; this allows us to monitor the neutral beam intensity. For O$_2^-$ and N$_3^-$, up to 80% detachment can be achieved. The beam, now composed of a mixture of neutrals and anions, passes through a 3 mm aperture, after which ions are deflected out of the beam by a 2 kV dc field, into a second microchannel-plate detector which is used to monitor the ion beam.

The mass-selected neutral beam then passes through a final 1 mm aperture into the dissociation and detection region. The highly-collimated beam (angular full width at half-maximum $<0.07^\circ$) is intercepted by the photodetachment laser, a second excimer-pumped pulsed dye laser; the
photodissociation interaction volume is \( \approx 1 \text{mm}^3 \). Since the \( \text{O}_2 \ X^2 \Sigma_g^+ \) produced by photodetachment is vibrationally excited, we can excite the \( v' = 7 - v'' = 4 \) transition of the well-known Schumann–Runge bands at \( \approx 210.2 \text{nm} \). This wavelength is produced by frequency doubling the output of Exalite 416 (Exciton) in a BBO crystal. \( \text{N}_3 \) is photodissociated near 270 nm using light produced by doubling the output of C540A in BBO. Typical dissociation laser fluences of 0.05 J/cm\(^2\) are used. In the results reported here, the laser polarization is parallel to the beam axis.

The nascent photofragments recoil out of the beam path and strike the active area of one of two detectors, each of which consists of a stack of microchannel plates. One detector, located 0.68 m from the photodissociation interaction volume, is used for measuring total photofragment flux; this detector can be moved entirely out of the radical beam path. The second detector, which lies 1.00 m from the interaction volume, is time and position sensitive. Both detectors have metal strips across their center lines to prevent the undissociated radicals from reaching the active area. The photofragments have laboratory energies in excess of 2 keV, and those that recoil out of the beam and strike the active area of a detector are detected with high efficiency (\( \approx 50\% \)). The movable detector, which is described in our previous papers, is lowered into the radical beam for measurements of the photofragmentation cross section as a function of dissociation wavelength. The new time and position sensitive detector is described in the following section.

### B. Coincidence wedge-and-strip-anode particle detector

Time- and position-sensitive detectors have previously been used in studies of metastable neutral dissociation and photodissociation processes.\(^{17-20}\) The majority of the studies to date have used one-dimensional (radial) position-sensitive detection, which can only measure photofragment angular distributions in specific experimental geometries.\(^{21}\) However, two-dimensional position-sensitive detectors have been applied in a variety of electron spectromicroscopies.\(^{51}\) The results in this paper were obtained with a detector that combines time- and position-sensitive detection. Schins et al.\(^{52}\) have recently developed a detector with similar capabilities and applied it to charge-transfer studies of high energy ion beams at surfaces. In our detector, position sensing of the two photofragments is accomplished with a specially designed anode assembly that sits behind the microchannel plates. This assembly consists of two wedge-and-strip anodes\(^{53}\) side-by-side; details are given below. This design was chosen over several other possible methods.\(^{54}\) Resistive anodes and charge-coupled-device imaging methods have slower time responses, and are thus not ideal for timing purposes.\(^{51,55}\) Multianode array techniques\(^{56}\) with multiple-event capabilities were also considered, but implementation of such detectors is a very expensive proposition.

The principle of this technique relies on the detection of the two photofragments from a single dissociation event in coincidence. Although single particle detection can be used in fast beam photodissociation experiments,\(^{57}\) the coincidence measurement is required for direct determination of the masses of the photofragments and the center-of-mass dynamical quantities for each dissociation event. The application of a radial coincidence time- and position-sensitive detector to the study of molecular dissociation dynamics, including the kinematic equations that relate position and relative time-of-arrival of the particles to center-of-mass angle and kinetic energy release, was discussed in detail by DeBruijn and Los.\(^{17}\) With the exception of an inconsistent sign convention, these kinematic equations are used in these studies as well (see Fig. 3). Given the parent mass \( M \), flight length, \( l \), primary beam velocity \( v_0 \) or energy \( E_0 \), the measured separation of the two fragments \( R \) and the coincidence time \( \tau \), the center-of-mass angle \( \theta \) is given by

\[
\theta = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{R}{v_0\tau}\right),
\]

where \( \tau \) is defined to be positive when \( A \) reaches the detector first. The center-of-mass kinetic energy release (henceforth referred to as KER), is given by

\[
E_{\text{CM}} = \frac{E_0}{l^2} \left( \frac{m_A m_{BC}}{M^2} \right) \left( \frac{v_0^2}{l^2} + R^2 \right) \times \left( 1 + 2 \frac{m_{BC} - m_A v_0\tau}{M} \frac{l}{l} \right).
\]

From the quantity \( R_{BC} \), the distance that the cofragment BC traveled from the center of radical beam, the masses of the photofragments can be found. For example,
FIG. 4. Schematic layout of the coincidence wedge-and-strip anode. This five period pattern is for illustrative purposes only—the actual anode has 32 periods per half. W1, S1, Z1 and W2, S2, Z2 measure the charges for the upper and lower halves of the detector, respectively. The wedges and strips are hatched in this figure. The solid lines separating the conductors represent insulating gaps.

\[
m_A = M \cdot R_{BC} \left( \frac{1 - t_0 \tau}{l} \right) \left[ R - \left( \frac{t_0 \tau}{l} \right) R_{BC} \right].
\]  

In contrast to the original detector of DeBruijn and Los, which measures the radial displacements of the two fragments from the detector center, our detector yields the particle displacements \((x_A,y_A)\) and \((x_{BC},y_{BC})\) in two dimensions. This has two advantages. First, a two-dimensional detector is needed to measure the photofragment angular distributions in experiments where the electric field vector \(E\) of the dissociation laser is perpendicular to the beam axis, since the photofragments are no longer azimuthally symmetric about the ion beam direction. Second, two-dimensional imaging helps discriminate against false coincidences, since

\[
x_{BC} = \frac{y_{BC}}{x_A} \frac{y_A}{(Q_w + Q_i + Q_z)}
\]  

must be satisfied due to conservation of momentum if the two photofragments originated from the same photodissociation event.

The principle of the wedge-and-strip-anode\(^3\) is to divide the cloud of charge from a microchannel plate detector between separate conductors on the anode in a spatially specific manner. This charge division between the conductors is determined simply by their geometric areas. A schematic layout of the C-WSA used in these experiments is shown in Fig. 4. The anode is composed of two independent single-particle anodes on a single substrate. Each anode is composed of three conductors (wedge, strip, and zig-zag), with a ground plane surrounding the entire pattern. The wedges taper linearly along the \(x\)-axis while the strips widen linearly along the \(y\)-axis. The zig-zag or \(Z\) conductor fills up the rest of the active area, allowing normalization of the total charge. The position algorithms for \(x\) and \(y\) are

\[
x \approx \frac{\alpha Q_w - \beta Q_z}{(Q_w + Q_i + Q_z)}
\]  

and

\[
y \approx \frac{\gamma Q_z - \delta Q_z}{(Q_w + Q_i + Q_z)}.
\]

The small correction factors \(\beta\) and \(\delta\) compensate for the cross talk between the wedge and strip conductors and the \(Z\)-conductor, as discussed by Siegmund\(^8\) and by Somorjai and co-workers.\(^9\) The two anodes abut at the innermost wedges, allowing dissociation events with minimal particle recoils to be recorded. The actual pattern used has a period of 0.8 mm, with minimum and maximum wedge fractions of 0.04 and 0.40 and minimum and maximum strip fractions of 0.06 and 0.40. The insulating gaps between conductors are 40 \(\mu\)m wide. The anode is photetched on a 6 \(\mu\)m vapor-deposited Cu layer on a quartz substrate.
A schematic of the C-WSA particle detector housing is shown in Fig. 5. The detector housing consists of an alumina/kovar microchannel-plate holder assembly, with a resistively coated electron drift region. A Z-stack of three Phillips G12/46-DT 13-13 13 46 mm diameter microchannel plates is used to amplify the secondary electrons produced by the impact of high-energy neutral particles, pro-

viding a gain of $\approx 2 \times 10^7$. The narrow pulse height distributions obtained with the Z-stack allows discrimination of multiple-particle from single-particle events. The detector has an active area diameter of 40.4 mm, but only 34 mm is used due to distortions near the outer perimeter inherent in the present detector housing design.

To record the time and position information for each pair of coincident photofragments, commercial nuclear instrumentation equipment is used. A schematic of the data acquisition system is shown in Fig. 6. After each dissociation laser pulse, the analog-to-digital converters are checked for wedge, strip, Z, and time-to-amplitude converter signals corresponding to particle impacts and the raw $x$, $y$, and coincidence time data are saved. The raw data are subsequently converted to center-of-mass kinetic energy release, angular, and mass distributions by implementing the position algorithm [Eq. (5)] and the kinematic equations (1)–(3). False coincidences are eliminated as previously described and valid coincident events are binned into the appropriate distributions of interest, i.e., mass, kinetic energy, and angular distributions. The processing of these raw distributions, $\mathcal{P}(E_T, \theta)$, is discussed in Sec. IV A.

Initial characterization of the C-WSA particle detector was done by covering the first microchannel plate with a pinhole-pattern array photoetched onto a 0.002 in. Ni sheet (Stork-Veco Corp.) and illuminating with a low-intensity mercury lamp. This sheet contained 50 and 25 $\mu$m pinholes in a 4 x 2 mm period rectangular array. The factors used in the position algorithms in Eqs. (5) were then adjusted to get the best-fit between the observed two-dimensional image and the known positions of the pinholes. Analysis of the intensity profiles of the imaged pinholes indicate that the spatial resolution is on the order of 75 $\mu$m full-width-at-half-maximum in $y$ and 130 $\mu$m in $x$. This calibration also showed that an 8 mm wide beam block was needed to prevent significant spill-over of signal near the center-line onto the adjacent half of the detector. The factors obtained by this procedure were further refined by calibration using the $O_3$ photodissociation results discussed below. From these calibration procedures, it was determined that the usable active detector area in these experiments was between azimuthal ($\phi$) angles of 35$^\circ$ and 145$^\circ$ on each half of the detector and with maximum single-particle recoil distances of 17 mm or less.

III. RESULTS

A. $O_2$

The Schumann-Runge absorption bands of $O_2$ involve the $B^3\Sigma_u^- - X^3\Sigma_g^-$ electronic transition and cover a wide range of wavelengths due to a large change in equilibrium bond length and a weak force constant in the $B$ state. It has long been known that the $B$ state rapidly predissociates, and numerous experimental and theoretical studies of the predissociation mechanism have been carried out to obtain an accurate picture of the UV photophysics of this extremely important molecule. Because the $O_2$ bond energy of 5.117 eV is well known, this system provides an independent check of the detector performance. Minor uncertainties arise in using $O_2$ as a calibrant, however, due to the unknown spin–orbit state distribution of the pair of $^3P_J$ O atoms produced by predissociation from the $O_2 B^3\Sigma_u^-$ state.

The $\nu=0$ level of the $O_2 X^3\Sigma_g^-$ state has very poor Franck–Condon overlap with the predissociating levels of the $B^3\Sigma_u^-$ state, while transitions from the $\nu=0$ level to the repulsive region of the $B$ state potential energy curve are beyond the range of our dissociation laser ($\lambda_{\text{min}}=208$ nm). In contrast, the excited vibrational levels of the $X$ state have much better overlap with the lower-lying predissociating levels of the $B$ state. Fortunately, photodetachment of $O_2^-$ is a convenient way of generating vibrationally excited $O_2^-$, as previously discussed. This allows us to excite the $O_2 B^3\Sigma_u^-(\nu'')=7)-X^3\Sigma_g^-(\nu''=4)$ transition at 210.23 nm which has a relatively favorable Franck–Condon factor ($q_{\nu',\nu''}=0.031$). A photodissociation cross

section spectrum of this region, including the weaker $v'=10-v''=5$ transition, is shown in Fig. 7. This spectrum shows the characteristic rotational structure of the Schumann–Runge band; the $R$ branch head is at the origin, and the $R$ branch is strongly degraded to the red, overlapping the $P$ branch lines. The assignment of the transitions was made using the constants of Creek and Nicholls.68

Figures 8 and 9 show the KER spectrum and angular distribution obtained by exciting the overlapping $R(3), P(1)$ lines in the $B(u'=7)\rightarrow X(u''=4)$ band. The ion beam energy was 8 keV. For now, we will focus on the KER spectrum; the angular distribution will be discussed in Sec. IV A. The peak in the KER spectrum at 1.523 eV has a full-width-at-half-maximum (FWHM) of 59 meV. This width is determined by several factors: primary beam energy spread, position and time resolution, residual nonlinearities in the position and time data, and, finally, the dynamics of the predissociation of $O_2$. The primary beam energy spread is dominated by the axial compression scheme used to optimize the overlap of the ion packet with the detachment and dissociation lasers. For $O_2$ at 8 keV, this amounts to $\approx 70$ eV FWHM, giving a spread in the measured KER of $\Delta E_{cm}/E_{cm}\approx \Delta E_{\theta}/E_0=0.9\%$. The spatial resolution quoted earlier produces an uncertainty of $\Delta E_{cm}/E_{cm}\approx 2\Delta R/R_{\max}=0.8\%$. The timing resolution of 0.5 ns contributes a similar error for those recoils that lie along the beam axis. Adding these relative errors in quadrature indicates that $\Delta \theta \approx 0.5^\circ$ is easily achievable.

Some of the discrepancy between the observed width of the KER spectrum and the expected value of 18 meV is due to residual nonlinearities in the position measurement. The low energy tail on the KER spectrum (open circles in Fig. 8) is an example of these nonlinearities. In principle, such a KER distribution could be due to dissociation of an excited state with a several hundred ns lifetime. However, by selecting a 4 mm wide vertical strip near the center of the detector, where the nonlinearities are minimized, we find that the low energy tail disappears, the energy spread drops to 50 meV FWHM, and the peak of the KER spectrum is shifted to 1.515 eV. This is shown by the dashed line in Fig. 8. Thus, the low energy tail is due to imperfect operation of the detector. We believe it is due to photodissociation events in which both fragments strike the detector near the beam block. In such a case, charge spillover between the two halves of the detector is large enough so that the measured distance between the O atoms is too small, resulting in an artificially low kinetic energy release.
This charge spillover effect is most important for equal mass fragments, since this results in a larger number of events in which both fragments land near the beam block. We also must consider the influence of the predissociation dynamics of O\(_2\) on the observed KERs. As seen in Fig. 7, the \(R(3)\) and \(P(1)\) rotational transitions overlap. This effect on the energy resolution is negligible, however, as the \(J=0\) to \(J=4\) spacing in the \(B\,^2\Sigma_u^+\) state is only 2 meV. However, the O atom spin-orbit state distribution may have a large effect, with a maximum possible spread of 56 meV when either two \(\Omega(3P)\) or \(\Omega(3P\) atoms are produced. The peak KER value obtained experimentally is 1.515 eV, which matches the \(\Omega(3P)\) + \(\Omega(3P)\) limit. In recent higher resolution measurements in our laboratory, the \(O_2\) KER showed several partially resolved peaks, indicating that a substantial fraction of the energy spread in Fig. 8 is from the O atom spin-orbit distribution. This more recent work will be discussed in a future publication;\(^6^9\) the relevant implication is that the resolution in the current study is better than 40 meV.

**B. \(N_3\)**

In our continuing study of \(N_3\) photodissociation dynamics, we have recorded kinetic-energy and angular distributions at 271.9, 270.9, and 270.2 nm (in vacuo). The first two wavelengths are transitions within the \(B\,^2\Sigma_u^+\,\rightarrow\,A\,^2\Pi_u^+\) band. They correspond\(^2^9\) to the \(2\Sigma_u^+(000)\,\rightarrow\,2\Pi_g(3/2)(000)\) electronic origin and the \(2\Pi(010)\,\rightarrow\,2\Sigma^+(010)\) vibronic sequence band, respectively, where \(v_1v_2v_3\) notation is used. The third wavelength corresponds to an unassigned transition which may also be in the \(B\,\rightarrow\,A\) band. The raw kinetic energy distributions obtained at 271.9 and 270.9 nm are shown in Fig. 10. These spectra consist of 60,000 80,000 coincidence events and are acquired in several hours each. Preliminary results at 270.2 nm (not shown) are very similar to the 270.9 nm results.

A qualitative assessment of the important channels in the dissociation of the \(B\,^2\Sigma_u^+\) state can be immediately reached on the examination of Fig. 10. The most prominent feature in both bands is the large peak centered between 1 and 2 eV, consistent with \(N\,^3\,D\) production (see Fig. 1). This feature has an apparent threshold of \(\approx 2.2\) eV, which indicates that the \(N_3\) is approximately thermoneutral relative to \(N+N_2\). The electronic origin KER spectrum, however, has a significant pedestal extending out to \(\approx 4.6\) eV. Given what is known about the energetics of \(N_3\), the only possible explanation of this pedestal is that the spin-forbidden dissociation producing \(N(4\Sigma) + N_2\) is occurring appreciably from the \(N \, B\,^2\Sigma_u^+(000)\) state. The spin-forbidden channel is also seen for the \(010\) band, but, in a striking mode-specific effect, it is markedly reduced in intensity. There is also evidence for a shoulder on the low energy side in both bands, with an onset of \(\approx 0.75\) eV; at this energy, the \(N(2P)\) channel is accessible. To convert the raw KER spectra and angular distributions to true center-of-mass distributions requires further data analysis, as discussed in the next section.

**IV. ANALYSIS**

The quantities of interest in a photodissociation dynamics experiment are the true photofragment center-of-mass kinetic energy and angular distributions. As shown in many earlier studies,\(^2^4\) these dynamical observables can provide clear insights into the detailed photodissociation mechanism. The kinetic energy distribution can reveal the forces at work during the dissociation, and in cases where internal states of the products are resolved, yield the detailed energy disposal. The anisotropy of the photofragment angular distribution provides information about the symmetry of the dipole transition, coupled with the dynamics of the dissociation. For one-photon dissociation, the form of the photofragment energy and angular distribution \(P(E_T,\theta)\) can be written as\(^6^8\)

\[
P(E_T,\theta) = P(E_T) [1 + \beta(E_T)P_2(\cos \theta)],
\]

where \(\theta\) is the angle between the photofragment recoil vector and the electric vector of the dissociation laser, \(P_2(\cos \theta)\) is the second Legendre polynomial, \(\beta(E_T)\) is the (energy-dependent) anisotropy parameter, and \(P(E_T)\) is the angle-integrated kinetic energy distribution. The anisotropy parameter \(\beta\) can range from +2 (corresponding to a \(\cos^2 \theta\) photofragment angular distribution) to -1 (for a \(\sin^2 \theta\) distribution).\(^7^0\) The functions \(P(E_T)\) and \(\beta(E_T)\) represent completely the information that can be distilled from the raw data in a photofragment translational spectroscopy experiment. In this section, we describe how the \(P(E_T)\) and \(\beta(E_T)\) are determined in our experiments on \(O_2\) and \(N_3\).

**A. Detector acceptance analysis**

Because our detector has both a finite size and a beam block across its center, some of the photodissociation events cannot be detected in coincidence, especially when unequal mass fragments are produced. For each photodissociation event, both fragments must strike the active area of the detector in order to be recorded as a coincidence event. For example, both fragments must have sufficient recoil velocity perpendicular to the beam block (along the...
y-coordinate in Fig. 3) to clear the 4 mm halfwidth of the beam block. For the photodissociation of an 8 keV beam of N\textsubscript{3}, this requirement prevents the observation of any fragmentation events with <0.20 eV kinetic energy. In addition, the fragments must not recoil out of the field-of-view of the detector, which in this experiment was defined by a radius of 17.0 mm. In the N\textsubscript{3} case, the onset of the field-of-view cutoff occurs for events with purely transverse recoil ($\theta = 90^\circ$ in Fig. 3) at 1.15 eV kinetic energy release. While the detector is sensitive to recoils with much higher kinetic energy release than 1.15 eV, the polar angular acceptance of the detector goes down with increasing recoil energy. Thus, the kinetic energy and angular distributions measured in the laboratory for N\textsubscript{3} photodissociation will be strongly influenced by the detector acceptance. We must accurately model the detector acceptance to determine the kinetic energy and angular distribution $\Psi(E_T, \theta)$ from the raw data. Fortunately, this purely geometric problem can be solved in a quite straightforward manner.

We have taken two independent approaches to this problem. The first approach, which we have used previously, is that of Monte Carlo forward convolution. The second approach, which we present here for the first time, involves a direct inversion of the raw data into the desired quantities $P(E_T)$ and $\beta(E_T)$.

In our Monte Carlo simulations, trial input center-of-mass kinetic energy and angular distributions [$P(E_T)$ and $\beta(E_T)$ of Eq. (6)] are input and averaged over all of the apparatus parameters, such as beam angular and velocity distributions, finite interaction volumes, resolution of the time and position measurements, and the active area of the two-particle detector. We have incorporated all of the important sources of experimental broadening with the exception of nonlinearities in the position measurement, which are most significant for equal mass fragments integrated over the full active area of the detector face. Application of this algorithm to the case of O\textsubscript{2} predissociation is simple—in the absence of O atom spin–orbit–state resolution, a single value for the kinetic energy release $E_T$ and anisotropy parameter $\beta$ is sought which fits the data. The solid line in Fig. 8 is a Monte Carlo simulation of the O atom data for a 4 mm wide vertical strip on the detector face, assuming production of O($^3P_2$) + O($^3P_1$) atoms. The simulation is considerably narrower than the data from the 4 mm strip, but, as mentioned above, recent results show that much of this discrepancy is in fact due to the spin–orbit state distribution of the O atoms. The O atom angular distribution shown in Fig. 9 is fit well by the Monte Carlo simulation assuming a value of $\beta = 0.7$ for the anisotropy parameter. This degree of anisotropy is consistent with the parallel ($\Delta A = 0$) electronic transition taken together with the 3 ps excited state lifetime, which is roughly equal to the O\textsubscript{2} $^3\Sigma_u^+$ rotational period.

Application of the Monte Carlo forward convolution technique to N\textsubscript{3} is more problematic, as in this case there are many product channels open, and the detector acceptance is affected by both the energy and angular distributions of the products. The Monte Carlo technique has no difficulty simulating this situation—the only question is to find the correct input distributions $P(E_T)$ and $\beta(E_T)$ by trial and error, a tedious process at best.

As a preferred alternative, we have numerically modeled the energy and angular dependence of the detector acceptance, providing unbiased center-of-mass kinetic energy and angular distributions. This direct inversion approach is similar in spirit to a more approximate one previously implemented by Los and co-workers. Their treatment of dissociative charge-exchange processes assumed isotropic product angular distributions [$\beta(E_T) = 0$ for all $E_T$]—a simplifying assumption that cannot be made in our case, as angular anisotropy is expected in photodissociation processes. The numerical algorithm we have developed allows the direct extraction of the quantitative center-of-mass kinetic energy and angular distributions [$P(E_T)$ and $\beta(E_T)$] as described below.

The raw data, consisting of 60,000–80,000 valid coincidence events, are binned into a two-dimensional raw energy-angle array $\mathcal{S}(E_T, \theta)$ with the aid of Eqs. (1) and (2). In order to convert $\mathcal{S}(E_T, \theta)$ into the desired joint probability distribution $\Psi(E_T, \theta)$ as it appears in Eq. (6), we must determine the acceptance of the detector for each value of $E_T$ and $\theta$. We define the detector acceptance function $\mathcal{D}(E_T, \theta)$ such that

$$\Psi(E_T, \theta) = \mathcal{S}(E_T, \theta)/\mathcal{D}(E_T, \theta).$$

This function $\mathcal{D}(E_T, \theta)$ is the probability of observation of a coincidence event with given values of kinetic energy release $E_T$ and recoil angle $\theta$. The photofragment angular distribution is azimuthally symmetric about the electric field vector $\mathbf{E}$ of the dissociation laser (which is parallel to the ion beam axis in these experiments), so $\mathcal{D}(E_T, \theta)$ is found by determining the range of azimuthal angles over which both fragments strike the active area of the detector. More specifically,

$$\mathcal{D}(E_T, \theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} D(E_T, \theta, \phi) d\phi.$$  

Here, $D(E_T, \theta, \phi)$ is the doubly-differential detector acceptance function which gives the probability of detection of a dissociation event with kinetic energy release $E_T$ and recoil angles $\theta$ and $\phi$. Neglecting the finite size of the radical beam (considered below), $D(E_T, \theta, \phi)$ is either 0 or 1. For specific values of $E_T$, $\theta$, and the fragment masses, it is elementary to determine the range of $\phi$ values for which $D(E_T, \theta, \phi) = 1$. As an example, consider our N\textsubscript{3} experiment. For an energy release of 1.7 eV (at the peak of the raw data distributions shown in Fig. 10), and polar recoil angle of $\theta = 40^\circ$, $D(E_T, \theta, \phi) = 1$ for $39^\circ < \phi < 141^\circ$ and for $219^\circ < \phi < 321^\circ$. $D(E_T, \theta, \phi) = 0$ for other values of $\phi$, for which the beam block prevents observation of the slower N\textsubscript{3} fragment. Thus, we have $\mathcal{D}(E_T=1.7 \text{ eV}, \theta=40^\circ) = 2 \times 102^\circ / 360^\circ = 0.567$.

Once $\mathcal{D}(E_T, \theta)$ is determined, the raw energy and angular distributions $\mathcal{S}(E_T, \theta)$ can be converted directly into the (unnormalized) probability distribution $\Psi(E_T, \theta)$ via Eq. (7). Then, for each kinetic energy interval $(E_T - \Delta E / 2, E_T + \Delta E / 2)$, we directly determine the anisotropy parameter $\beta(E_T)$ by a simple least squares fit to
Eq. (6). Application of this algorithm to the O₂ data, for which only a single value of \( \beta \) is needed, yields \( \beta = 0.70 \pm 0.05 \), in perfect agreement with that found by the Monte Carlo forward convolution. In the case of N₃ photodissociation, Fig. 11 shows the resulting \( \beta(E_T) \) obtained in our experiment. These results were obtained using energy intervals of \( \Delta E = 0.4 \text{ eV} \) in the kinetic energy release, centered at the points shown. This ability to extract an energy-dependent \( \beta(E_T) \) directly from the raw data is a powerful advantage of acquiring simultaneous energy and angular distributions; the energy dependence of \( \beta \) is typically not obtained from conventional mass-spectrometric photofragmentation studies, although it can be in some cases.⁷³

The final step in the direct inversion analysis is to find the angle-integrated kinetic energy distribution \( P(E_T) \) at the highest energy resolution. Using the appropriate values of \( \beta(E_T) \) obtained above, we determine \( P(E_T) \) for energy intervals of 0.033 eV in width, i.e., intervals that are comparable to the instrumental energy resolution. The kinetic energy distributions are shown in Fig. 12 for the two vibronic bands (000) and (010). The plots in Figs. 11 and 12 represent the main result of this work.

As an independent check on the results obtained by the detector acceptance analysis, the deduced \( P(E_T) \) and \( \beta(E_T) \) distributions can be entered as trial inputs into a Monte Carlo simulation. This comparison is shown in Fig. 13 for the KER and angular distributions obtained for the (000) band. The agreement between the raw data and the Monte Carlo simulation using these inputs is excellent, and gives an important check on the consistency of these two very different data analysis algorithms. The agreement between the two algorithms was improved significantly when we accounted for the size and shape of the radical beam in the calculation of the doubly-differential acceptance function \( D(E_T, \theta, \phi) \). The results shown in Figs. 11 and 12 were obtained after we modeled the beam profile at the detector as a two-dimensional Gaussian with a 1 mm FWHM. After this improvement, \( D(E_T, \theta, \phi) \) took values between 0 and 1, representing what fraction of the radical beam profile gives rise to coincidences for kinetic energy release \( E_T \) and recoil angles \( \theta \) and \( \phi \). The most significant discrepancy remaining between the two methods is for the smallest energy releases, where the direct analysis has difficulty calculating the very small acceptance of the detector. This is probably due to the fact that the direct inversion does not take into account the velocity distribution and the angular divergence of the radical beam. The overall agreement between the data and the Monte Carlo simulation indicates, however, that it would not be meaningful to improve the fit to the data by adjusting the \( P(E_T) \) and \( \beta(E_T) \) distributions determined in the detector acceptance analysis.

There are two major sources of uncertainty in the \( P(E_T) \) plotted in Fig. 12. One is simply the statistical noise associated with the Poisson statistics inherent in a counting experiment. This uncertainty, shown as \( \pm 1 \sigma \) error bars in Fig. 12, is well estimated by the square root of the number of counts in a given 0.033 eV energy bin. The statistical uncertainty is uncorrelated from one point to the next. The second source is associated with the uncertainty in \( \beta(E_T) \) used in the last step of the analysis [see Fig. 11 for the standard error in \( \beta(E_T) \) deduced in the linear least-squares fit]. This error is negligible in some parts of the kinetic energy release spectrum, and dominates in others. The \( \beta(E_T) \) uncertainty is especially important at high \( E_T \).
B. Branching ratio analysis

Having obtained the true center-of-mass \( P(E_T) \) distributions, we can address the question of the energetics and energy disposal in the photodissociation of \( \text{N}_3 \). For both bands, the energy distributions show evidence for \( \text{N}_2 \) product vibrational-state resolution, as indicated by the combs in Fig. 12, with this structure being most noticeable in the \( \text{N}^{(4S)} \) region of the \((000)\) spectrum. We have determined that the energy resolution of the detector is better than 40 meV, considerably smaller than the \( \text{N}_2 \) vibrational frequency (290 meV). Hence, the observation of only partially resolved vibrational structure indicates that there is significant rotational excitation of the \( \text{N}_2 \) products. The most striking effect noticed in these \( P(E_T) \) plots was already noted in the examination of the raw data; the branching ratio for \( \text{N}^{(4S)} \) production drops significantly (by a factor of 6) when one quantum of the bend in the upper \( \text{N}_3 \) state is excited. In addition, the significance of the low energy shoulder on the raw \( \text{KER} \) spectra, which is most likely due to a \( \text{N}^{(2P)} + \text{N}_2 \) dissociation channel is now seen distinctly as a peak in \( P(E_T) \) below 0.7 eV in both spectra.

The energy disposal and branching ratios for the products of \( \text{N}_3 \) dissociation can be assessed by decomposition of the \( P(E_T) \)'s into plausible electronic, vibrational and rotational distributions of \( \text{N} + \text{N}_2 \) products. We have done this by fitting the \( P(E_T) \)'s with Gaussian rotational energy distributions for each \( \text{N} + \text{N}_2(v) \) channel accessible. These Gaussian distributions have a constant width throughout the vibrational progression belonging to each electronic state; in addition, the Gaussian distributions have a common offset between their peaks and the vibrational origins \( (J=0) \) throughout the manifold belonging to each electronic state. The Gaussians are truncated at the origin of the vibrational bands. In the absence of well-resolved vibrational structure, this decomposition is far from unique, especially where there is overlap between vibrational manifolds belonging to different \( \text{N} \) atom electronic states. However, in the \( \text{N}^{(4S)} \) region of the \((000)\) band and the \( \text{N}^{(2D)} \) region of the \((000)\) and \((010)\) bands, there is enough vibrational resolution that the fits are well determined in these regions.

In addition to the parameters that describe the Gaussian distributions, the fits required a value for the bond dissociation energy \( D_0(\text{N}_2-\text{N}) \). The \( P(E_T) \)'s for the \((000)\) and \((010)\) bands were fit best when \( D_0 = -0.05 \pm 0.10 \text{ eV} \). From this value, the 0 and 298 K heats of formation of \( \text{N}_3 \) are \( \Delta H_{f,0}^{(000)}(\text{N}_3) = 113.7 \pm 2.3 \text{ kcal/mol} \) and \( \Delta H_{f,298}^{(000)}(\text{N}_3) = 112.8 \pm 2.3 \text{ kcal/mol} \). These values agree very well with the earlier experimental determination by Brauman and co-workers, and are also consistent with the recent \textit{ab initio} study of Martin et al. The best fit to the \((000)\) band, using \( D_0 = -0.05 \text{ eV} \), is shown in Fig. 14. In the \( \text{N}^{(4S)} \) manifold of the \((000)\) band, the rotational energy distributions are represented as Gaussians with a FWHM of 0.25 eV, peaking at 0.25 eV above the \( J=0 \) origin \( (J_{\text{peak}} \approx 32) \). For \( \text{N}^{(2D)} \), the Gaussians have a FWHM of 0.28 eV, offset by 0.26 eV from the origin. For \( \text{N}^{(2P)} \), the best fit was given by a hotter rotational distribution; the Gaussians have a FWHM of 0.28
TABLE I. Product branching ratios for the N+N\(_2\) products following the predissociation of the (000) and (010) levels of the \(\tilde{B}^2\Sigma_u^+\) state of N\(_3\), using the fitting procedure discussed in the text. The fit results are also shown in Fig. 14. The electronic branching ratios are given relative to the N(\(^4S\)) intensity. The uncertainties in the N(\(^4S\)) channel branching ratios are the result of the large uncertainty in \(\beta(E_F)\) for \(E_F>2.5\) eV (see Fig. 11). The uncertainties in the N(\(^4P\)) channel, on the other hand, reflect the acceptance cutoff at 0.20 eV, and also the ambiguity caused by overlap with the N(\(^2D\)) + N\(_2\) manifold. The vibrational distribution in each channel is normalized to unity. The intensities of the vibrational levels marked with a dagger (') were fixed in the least-squares fit. The Franck-Condon vibrational distribution (FCF) is also noted for reference, as discussed in the text.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Branching ratio</th>
<th>(v=0)</th>
<th>(v=1)</th>
<th>(v=2)</th>
<th>(v=3)</th>
<th>(v=4)</th>
<th>(v=5)</th>
<th>(v=6)</th>
<th>(v=7)</th>
<th>(v=8)</th>
<th>(v=9)</th>
<th>(v=10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(^4S) 000</td>
<td>0.73(\pm0.23) &amp; 0.03 &amp; 0.10 &amp; 0.09 &amp; 0.11 &amp; 0.13 &amp; 0.14 &amp; 0.10 &amp; 0.13 &amp; 0.08(</td>
<td>^\dagger) &amp; 0.06(</td>
<td>^\dagger) &amp; 0.04(</td>
<td>^\dagger)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^2P) 000</td>
<td>0.18(\pm0.11) &amp; 0.16 &amp; 0.84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^4S) 010</td>
<td>0.12(\pm0.07) &amp; 0.01 &amp; 0.03 &amp; 0.10 &amp; 0.09 &amp; 0.17 &amp; 0.10 &amp; 0.21 &amp; 0.15 &amp; 0.09(</td>
<td>^\dagger) &amp; 0.04(</td>
<td>^\dagger) &amp; 0.03(</td>
<td>^\dagger)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^2P) 010</td>
<td>0.22(\pm0.11) &amp; 0.12 &amp; 0.88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCF</td>
<td>0.23   &amp; 0.29   &amp; 0.23   &amp; 0.14   &amp; 0.07   &amp; 0.03   &amp; 0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. DISCUSSION

The new C-WSA particle detector coupled with fast radical beam photodissociation spectroscopy allows detailed photofragment kinetic energy, mass and angular distributions to be obtained for well-characterized free radicals. The experiments discussed have shown that N\(_3\) dissociates by both spin-allowed and spin-forbidden processes, as previously seen in the case of NCO and N\(_2\)O\(^+\). The salient difference noted between N\(_3\) and NCO dissociation is that in the case of NCO, once the spin-allowed \(N(2^3P)\) products become energetically allowed, they rapidly dominate the product branching ratio. For N\(_3\), however, both low-lying doublet states of the N atom are accessible from all levels of the \(\tilde{B}^2\Sigma_u^+\) ground state, yet there is a large change in the \((2^3D):(4^S)\) branching ratio with the addition of one quantum of bend-

\(\epsilon\), offset by 0.37 eV from the origin (\(J_{\text{peak}}\approx39\)). This offset for N(\(^2P\)) production is large enough that the vibrational assignment for the peak in \(P(E_F)\) is somewhat ambiguous; we have assigned it to the N(\(^2P\)) + N\(_2\) (\(v=1\)) limit, but it could feasibly be assigned to \(v=2\), with a 0.09 eV offset. For the (010) band, the FWHM of the Gaussian distributions are essentially the same, but the offsets are increased by 60–90 meV. This additional offset can be explained by the fairly direct transfer of the 57 meV of bend excitation present in the N\(_3\) \(^2\Sigma_u^+\) (010) level into N\(_2\) product rotation.

The vibrational distributions and electronic branching ratios derived from these fits are listed in Table I, along with upper and lower limits for the electronic branching ratios found by fitting the \(P(E_F)\) distributions obtained with the upper and lower limits to \(\beta(E_F)\) shown in Fig. 11. These results show that the N(\(^4S\)) branching ratio has a large uncertainty due to the difficulty of measuring \(\beta\) for high energy releases. It also must be noted that to calculate the N atom branching ratios, we have assumed that the bands overlap in the manner shown in Fig. 14. Also shown in Table I is the Franck–Condon vibrational distribution in N\(_2\) that would result from a sudden bond length change from 1.18 Å \(r_s\) in N\(_3\) (Ref. 29)) to 1.10 Å \(r_s\) in N\(_2\) (Ref. 74)). The vibrational distribution in the N(\(^4S\)) channel is very hot, while the N(\(^2D\)) channel vibrational distribution peaks at \(v=1\) in both the (000) and (010) bands, similar to the Franck–Condon distribution. Production of N(\(^2P\)) provides the most likely explanation for the peak in \(P(E_F)\) below 0.7 eV. However, the precise determination of the N(\(^2P\)) branching ratio is problematic due to the overlap with the products correlated to N(\(^2D\)) atom production.

The error bars in our value for \(D_0\) are largely due to the correlation between the bond dissociation energy and the offsets used in the rotational energy distributions. There is no guarantee that our KER spectra contain a measurable amount of signal for N(\(^4S\)) + N\(_2\) (\(v=0, J=0\)), especially in light of the considerable rotational excitation of the N\(_2\) fragment. Thus, the positions of the true \(J=0\) rotational origins (and therefore the bond dissociation energy \(D_0\)) could only be determined to an accuracy of 0.10 eV. On the other hand, the highest observed kinetic energy release can be determined quite precisely; as a result, an upper bound on \(D_0\) can be determined with higher precision than \(D_0\) itself. Examination of the data in the (000) band shows that the maximum KER is recorded in the 0.033 eV wide energy bin centered at 4.550 eV, so events with an observed KER > 4.533 eV were recorded. Taking into account the kinetic energy resolution of \(<0.040\) eV FWHM, the best estimate for the lower limit to the maximum KER is 4.513 eV. In these measurements, the photodissociation laser linewidth overlaps several N\(_3\) rotational transitions, and rotational levels with \(N\approx10\) may contribute 0.007 eV to the maximum energy release. With all of these points considered, we deduce an upper limit to the bond dissociation energy, \(D_0<0.05\) eV \(\pm0.03\) eV. Thus, we find that N\(_3\) is at most slightly stable relative to N(\(^4S\)) + N\(_2\). This upper bound is quite consistent with the bond dissociation energy \(D_0=-0.05\pm0.10\) eV determined by the fitting procedure described above.
ing excitation. This is a clear example of mode-specific dissociation dynamics, in which the final product state distribution can be dramatically affected depending on which level of the predissociating state is prepared. Another significant result from this work is the high level of \( \text{N}_3 \) rotational excitation in both the spin-forbidden and spin-allowed channels, even though the \( \text{N}_3 \) \( B \) state is linear. This implies that bent geometries of \( \text{N}_3 \) participate in dissociation from the \( B \) state.

These experiments have also independently confirmed that \( \text{N}_3 \) is slightly unstable relative to \( \text{N}(^4S) + \text{N}_2 \) at 0 K \( (D_0 = -0.05 \pm 0.10 \text{ eV}) \), in good agreement with Braunam and co-workers. The energetics of the \( \text{N}_3 \) system are shown in Fig. 1. The current experiments are profoundly direct measurements, which do not require any auxiliary thermochemical information. The recent study by Martin et al. indicates that \( \text{N}_3 \) is somewhat more stable than this experimental determination, as mentioned in the introduction. However, their calculation is consistent with the upper bound for \( D_0 \) determined by this study.

An interesting observation concerns the \( P(E_T) \) in the \( \text{N}(^4S) \) channel. At higher translational energies, structure which appears to be consistent with resolved \( \text{N}_3 \) vibrational states is observed. At lower kinetic energies \( (2.2 \text{ eV} < E_T < 2.8 \text{ eV}) \), however, this structure washes out, implying that rotational excitation increases with vibrational excitation. While this nonstatistical behavior may seem counterintuitive, similar effects have been previously observed by Zare and co-workers in the photodissociation of ICN. In the case of the nonadiabatic dissociation of ICN, this effect was attributed to the rotational distribution being determined by a geometry change in the excited state prior to dissociation, with the vibrational distribution independently determined by exit-channel interactions. To reach spin-forbidden \( \text{N}(^4S) + \text{N}_2 \) products, a multistep dissociation mechanism is likely, as discussed below, and similar phenomena may be governing the partitioning of energy in this system as well. In our study, this can only be a qualitative conclusion, as we have not measured precise vibrational state distributions.

The \( \beta(E_T) \) curves in Fig. 11 are also of interest. These plots show a significant energy dependence for \( \beta \) within each band. Although \( \beta \) is not as well determined for \( E_T > 2.5 \text{ eV} \), the \( \text{N}(^2D) + \text{N}_2 \) products \( (E_T < 2.2 \text{ eV}) \) have a more positive value of \( \beta \) than the \( \text{N}(^4S) + \text{N}_2 \) products in both the \( \text{O}(000) \) and \( \text{O}(010) \) bands. Moreover, \( \beta \) varies significantly over the energy range where the spin-allowed channels dominate, \( 0.6 < E_T < 2.2 \text{ eV} \). In the \( \text{O}(000) \) band, \( \beta \) varies from \( +0.2 \) to \( -0.5 \) over this range, and in the \( \text{O}(010) \) band, it varies from \( 0.6 \) to \( -0.1 \). It is somewhat surprising that a positive value of \( \beta \) is observed at all in the photodissociation of the \( \text{N}_3 \) \( B \) \( ^2\Sigma_u^+ \) state, since this state is prepared via a perpendicular electronic transition from the \( X^2\Pi_1 \) state. However, a geometry change in the molecule prior to dissociation can create a different photofragment anisotropy than that expected for a linear molecule undergoing purely axial recoil. If the molecule bends significantly from the prepared linear excited state, for example, more transverse recoil is expected, which in our case will lead to a more positive anisotropy parameter. The differences between the \( \text{O}(000) \) and \( \text{O}(010) \) band \( \beta(E_T) \) curves are consistent with this interpretation. \( \beta(E_T) \) is consistently more positive as might be expected from a more transverse recoil due to bending excitation. Similar effects have previously been observed in studies of ICN by Zare and co-workers \(^{25} \) and HCO by Kable et al.\(^{76} \)

The variation of \( \beta \) for \( E_T < 2.2 \text{ eV} \), may be a further indication of the importance of coupling between the geometry of the dissociating molecule and the photofragment energy distribution. Energy dependent anisotropies have been observed in the statistical decomposition of ionic clusters,\(^{77} \) but those experiments have little bearing on the \( \text{N}_3 \) results. In any case, the anisotropy parameter results offer further support for the idea, implied by the \( \text{N}_2 \) rotational distributions, that bent geometries play a role in the dissociation of \( \text{N}_3 \).

The range of \( \beta(E_T) \) in Fig. 11 is somewhat inconsistent with the study by Haas and Gericke,\(^{26} \) who estimated a lifetime of at least 370 ps in the \( \text{O}(000) \) band from high-resolution laser-induced fluorescence data. This is considerably longer than the \( \text{N}_3 \) rotational period (7.6 ps for \( J = 5 \)). For complete rotational averaging of a linear molecule, \( \beta \) is reduced by a factor of 4,\(^{78} \) so \( -0.25 < \beta < 0.5 \). However, we observe, for example, that \( \beta(1.4 \text{ eV}) = -0.55 \pm 0.07 \) for the \( \text{O}(000) \) band. Haas and Gericke report broader lines in the \( \text{O}(101) \) spectrum, but do not offer an analysis of the line shapes for this band. There are two additional factors that must be noted here. In the limit of excitation of single rotational levels, the angular distribution will vary markedly with \( J \).\(^{79-81} \) Our current measurements were done at high dissociation laser fluences, where many individual rotational transitions are power broadened into one broad feature, however, so it is not necessary to explicitly consider the \( J \) dependence of the angular distribution. At these higher laser powers, the anisotropy also may be reduced somewhat by saturation,\(^ {80} \) so the range in \( \beta \) values may actually be larger than indicated in Fig. 11.

We can gain some insight into the various dissociation mechanisms in \( \text{N}_3 \) from the \textit{ab initio} calculations of the \( \text{N}_3 \) excited states by Petrorgolo,\(^{37} \) and from theoretical and experimental work on the isoelectronic \( \text{N}_2O^+ \) ion.\(^{39,82-84} \) We first consider the spin-allowed channels. In a linear geometry, the \( \text{N}_3 \) \( B \) \( ^2\Sigma_u^+ \) state correlates adiabatically to the \( \text{N}(^2P) + \text{N}_2 \) product channel. This channel is energetically accessible from all levels of the \( B \) state, so in order to explain the large amount of \( \text{N}_2 \) rotational excitation seen in our experiment.
A useful perspective on the spin-forbidden dissociation channel is provided by the work of Guyon and co-workers, who used threshold photoelectron–photoion coincidence spectroscopy to study predissociation from vibrationally excited levels of the $N_2O^+ A\,^2\Sigma_u^+$ state. They observe mainly spin-forbidden $N\,(^4S) + NO^+$ product [the spin-allowed $N\,(^4D) + NO^+$ channel lies slightly above the $A$ state origin], and the $NO^+$ appears to be highly vibrationally excited. This vibrational excitation may be analogous to our observations for the spin-forbidden $N\,(^4S) + N_2$ channel. Based on 

The detailed predissociation dynamics of the $\tilde{B}\,^3\Sigma_u^+$ state of $N_3$ have been investigated using a new time and position sensitive detector in conjunction with our fast radical beam photofragment spectrometer. The detector was calibrated by studying predissociation from the Schumann–Runge band of $O_2$ and found to have an energy resolution of better than 40 meV. The $N_3$ results show that spin-forbidden dissociation occurs, with a branching ratio that is sensitive to initial bending vibrational excitation in the $B\,^2\Sigma_u^+$ state. Two methods of extracting center-of-mass translational energy and angular distributions are discussed. The results show that the $N\,(^2D):N\,(^4S)$ branching ratio changes by a factor of 6 when one quantum of the bending vibration is excited. We also obtain angular and $N_2$ internal energy distributions for each $N + N_2$ electronic channel. We find considerable rotational excitation in all channels, and considerably more vibrational excitation in the $N\,(^4S) + N_2$ channel relative to the $N\,(^2D) + N_2$ channel. The mechanism and dynamics of $N_3$ dissociation is discussed in light of the existing theoretical studies of $N_3$ and experiments and theory done on the analogous predissociation of the $A\,^2\Sigma_u^+$ state of $N_2O^+$. The mode-selective decomposition observed in $N_3$, and in the studies of other systems referenced, shows that the predissociation dynamics of many small polyatomic molecules are very sensitive to the excited state potential energy surfaces, and can often be manipulated by state-selective preparation. Future studies of these effects in free radicals will be of great interest, as the higher density of excited electronic states in these open-shell systems may reveal a diverse variety of useful mode-selective phenomena.

VI. CONCLUSION
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Recent theoretical treatments of these nonadiabatic processes can be found in S. Yabushita, and K. Morokuma, Chem. Phys. Lett. 175, 518 (1990); H. Guo, J. Chem. Phys. 96, 6629 (1992).