Density functional theory study of benzene oxidation over Fe-ZSM-5
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Abstract

In the presence of nitrous oxide, Fe-ZSM-5 is known to catalyze the partial oxidation of benzene to phenol with high selectivity. The active site for this reaction is thought to be a surface iron–oxo species generated upon dissociation of nitrous oxide and release of nitrogen. In this study, density functional theory calculations were used to explore possible pathways for benzene oxidation at an isolated Fe center in ZSM-5. $Z^{-}[FeO_2]^+$ and $Z^{-}[FeO]^+$ were considered as candidate active centers. The most favorable pathway involves the direct oxidation of benzene via the reaction $Z^{-}[FeO_2]^+(C_6H_6) \rightarrow Z^{-}[FeO]^+(C_6H_5OH)$. Consistent with experimental observations, we predict that the kinetic isotope effect for the oxidation of $1,3,5-d_3$-benzene is near unity at 298 K. An overall mechanism for $N_2O$ oxidation of benzene to phenol is proposed on the basis of an analysis of relative rates of $N_2O$ decomposition and benzene oxidation. In the case of the isolated active center $Z^{-}[FeO_2]^+$, the system shows a clear preference for benzene oxidation, whereas nitrous oxide dissociation is favored over $Z^{-}[FeO]^+$. This mechanism is then used to derive an expression for the overall rate of benzene oxidation. The apparent activation energy deduced from this rate expression ranges from 37 to 27 kcal/mol over the temperature range 600 to 800 K. At 673 K, the predicted turnover frequency compares well with that measured experimentally.
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1. Introduction

The direct oxidation of benzene to phenol is an attractive alternative to the traditional cumene process, which co-produces acetone as a by-product. Studies by Panov and co-workers [1–6] have shown that nearly 100% selectivity to phenol can be achieved by oxidizing benzene with $N_2O$ over ZSM-5 containing extra-framework iron. These findings have stimulated an interest in identifying the nature of the active site for the hydroxylation of benzene and the mechanism via which the reaction occurs. Brønsted acid sites [7–9], extra-framework iron–oxo species [1–6], and Lewis acidic aluminum sites in both framework and extra-framework positions [10,11] have been proposed as candidates for the catalytically active centers. Recent experimental studies by Wichterlova and co-workers [12] strongly support the original evidence of Panov and co-workers that extra-framework iron–oxo species are essential for the hydroxylation of benzene. A correlation in activity for phenol formation was found with the concentration of Brønsted or Al–Lewis sites. While the exact structure of the active center was not defined, it was suggested that it is a complex oxo-structure containing iron as Fe(III).

Several quantum chemical studies have been reported aimed at further defining the possible structure of the iron–oxo site. These efforts have focused on both the processes involved in the decomposition of $N_2O$ and those involved in the oxidation of benzene to phenol. Zhidomirov and co-workers [13] have reported calculations for the decomposition of $N_2O$ on an active site modeled as $Fe(OH)_2(H_2O)_2$. In a subsequent study, these authors investigated the decomposition of $N_2O$ on binuclear Fe complexes in ZSM-5 [14]. The zeolite was modeled by a pair of 5T rings sharing an edge and the Fe complex was represented as either $[(OH)FeOF(OH)]^{2+}$ or $[FeOFe]^{2+}$. More recently, Ryder et al. [15] have shown that $N_2O$ decomposition to $N_2$ and $O_2$ could occur on isolated sites, i.e., $[FeO]^+$ and $[FeO_2]^+$, and that the apparent activation energy determined from an analysis of the reaction kinetics is in very good agreement with that measured experimentally [16]. Yoshizawa et al. [17–20] have considered the oxidation of benzene assuming that the active center is $[FeO]^+$. The zeolite is prepa-
presented by a 3T cluster, which is fully relaxed during the calculations. The authors conclude that adsorbed benzene reacts via a two-step mechanism. In the first step, benzene dissociates to form a phenyl group and a hydroxyl group. The phenyl group then migrates to the hydroxyl group and reacts with it, and the product, phenol, then desorbs. The principle issue with this mechanism is that requires Fe(III) to be reduced to Fe(I), which does not seem plausible.

The purpose of this study is to explore the energetics of benzene oxidation over both [FeO]⁺ and [FeO₂]⁺, using a 5T cluster representation of the zeolite framework. We proceed by postulating a general mechanism for the oxidation of benzene, computing the energetics for each elementary step, and then developing a rate expression for the overall rate of reaction, which includes the decomposition of N₂O to produce N₂ and the active form of oxygen. The apparent activation energy and turnover frequency determined from the overall rate expression are compared with experimental observation.

2. Theoretical methods

The catalytically active center and a portion of the zeolite framework are represented by a 24-atom cluster. As shown in Fig. 1, the portion of the cluster describing the zeolite contains an Al atom in the T12 site of the framework surrounded by shells of O and Si atoms. The terminal Si atoms are fixed in their crystallographic positions as reported by Olson et al. [21]. Dangling bonds are terminated by H atoms located 1.5 Å from each terminal Si atom oriented in the direction of the next T (tetrahedral) site. The anionic cluster is charge-compensated by a metal–oxo species, [FeO]⁺ or [FeO₂]⁺, placed between two of the four O atoms surrounding the Al atom.

Quantum chemical calculations of the geometry and energies of ground states and transition-state structures were performed using nonlocal, gradient-corrected density-functional theory [22]. To represent the effects of exchange and correlation, Becke’s three-parameter exchange functional and the correlation functional of Lee, Yang, and Parr (B3LYP) were used [23,24]. Basis sets at the 6-31G or double-ζ level were used for all atoms, with the exception of Fe. To describe Fe, the energy-consistent pseudopotentials of Stuttgart and Bonn were used in the small-core approximation [25]. Polarization functions were added to all atoms, with the exception of terminal H groups. No corrections were made for basis-set superposition error. All calculations and reported values were carried out using the JAGUAR 4.1 suite of programs (Schrödinger Inc.) [26]. For purposes of comparison, additional calculations were performed using GAUSSIAN 98 [27]. During these calculations all atoms of the cluster were allowed to relax with the exception of the terminal Si and H atoms, as noted above.

Overall equilibrium constants and reaction rate constants were computed using standard statistical mechanics and absolute rate theory [28]. We use the harmonic approximation and included the contributions of the translational, rotational, and vibrational partition functions of all gaseous species participating in the reaction and the vibrational contribution due to the zeolite cluster. Since the zeolite cluster
is part of a solid, translational partition, functions for the zeolite were assumed to be equal in the reactant and transition state. For weakly bound species, contributions of restricted rotation were considered. All molecular structures were assumed to be in the ground-state electronic configuration.

3. Results and discussion

Prior to examining the energetics of benzene oxidation by N₂O, it is useful to briefly review the results of our earlier work on the decomposition of N₂O over Fe-ZSM-5 [15]. The following five steps were found to give a good representation of the mechanism:

(1) \( Z^-[\text{FeO}]^+ + \text{N}_2\text{O}(g) \rightarrow Z^-[\text{FeO}]^+(\text{N}_2\text{O}) \);
(2) \( Z^-[\text{FeO}]^+(\text{N}_2\text{O}) \rightarrow Z^-[\text{FeO}_2]^+ + \text{N}_2(\text{g}) \);
(3) \( Z^-[\text{FeO}_2]^+ + \text{N}_2\text{O}(\text{g}) \rightarrow Z^-[\text{FeO}_2]^+(\text{N}_2\text{O}) \);
(4) \( Z^-[\text{FeO}_2]^+(\text{N}_2\text{O}) \rightarrow Z^-[\text{FeO}]^+(\text{O}_2) + \text{N}_2(\text{g}) \);
(5) \( Z^-[\text{FeO}]^+(\text{O}_2) \rightarrow Z^-[\text{FeO}]^+ + \text{O}_2(\text{g}) \).

Table 1 summarizes the bond lengths for species present along the reaction pathway shown in Fig. 1. In this table, the C and O atoms involved in a reaction are identified by an asterisk. The distance between the two O atoms in \( Z^-[\text{FeO}_2]^+ \) is 0.135 nm and the Fe–O bond distance is 0.196 nm. Both distances are typical of peroxide species. Recent X-ray absorption spectroscopy studies support this conclusion [29].

The equilibrium constants for those steps found to be at quasi-equilibrium and rate coefficients for the steps found to be irreversible are listed in Table 3. From a detailed analysis of the kinetic mechanism, we concluded that the most abundant surface species is \( Z^-[\text{FeO}_2]^+ \). The activation energy for benzene oxidation, \( E_{\text{act}} \), is defined as the difference in energy between the adsorbed (a) and the transition state (c). This value, corrected for zero-point energy, is 41.9 kcal/mol. The imaginary frequency associated with the transition-state mode is 1219i cm⁻¹. The desorption energy for phenol, \( \Delta E_{\text{des}} \), defined as the difference in energy between (d) and (e), is computed to be +20.2 kcal/mol.

Table 1

Selected bond lengths of equilibrium and transition-state structures for benzene oxidation over \( Z^-[\text{FeO}_2]^+ \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>( Z^-[\text{FeO}^+\text{O}]^+ ) (nm)</th>
<th>( Z^-[\text{FeO}^+\text{O}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{O})]^+ ) (nm)</th>
<th>( Z^-[\text{FeO}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{O}^+\text{H})]^+ ) (nm)</th>
<th>( Z^-[\text{FeO}]^+ ) (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( r(\text{Fe–O}) )</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>0.209</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>0.165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r(\text{Fe–O}^*) )</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>0.167</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r(\text{O}^–\text{O}) )</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>0.268</td>
<td>0.271</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r(\text{C}^–\text{O}^*) )</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>0.139</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r(\text{O}^*–\text{H}) )</td>
<td>0.144</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r(\text{C}^*–\text{H}) )</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>0.197</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Denotes carbon and oxygen atoms involved in bond breakage and formation.

a C*-H bond length in gas-phase C₆H₅.
b O*-H and C*-H bond lengths in C₆H₅OH.
Fig. 2. Energy versus reaction coordinate for benzene oxidation over $Z^−[\text{FeO}]^+$.  

Table 2  
Selected bond lengths of equilibrium and transition-state structures for benzene oxidation over $Z^−[\text{FeO}]^+$  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>r(Fe–O*) (Å)</th>
<th>r(C*–O*) (Å)</th>
<th>r(O*–H) (Å)</th>
<th>r(C*–H) (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$Z^−[\text{FeO}]^+$</td>
<td>0.165</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>0.124</td>
<td>0.109$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[Z^−[\text{FeO}*(\text{C}_6\text{H}_6)]^+]^{2+}$</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>0.126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z^−[\text{Fe}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{O}^*\text{H})]^+$</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>0.126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z^−[\text{Fe}]^+$</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>0.196</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Denotes carbon and oxygen atoms involved in bond breakage and formation.  
$^b$ C*=H bond length in C$_6$H$_6$.  
$^b$ O*=H and C*=H bond lengths in C$_6$H$_5$OH.

(9) $Z^−[\text{FeO}]^++\text{C}_6\text{H}_6\text{(g)}\rightleftharpoons Z^−[\text{FeO}]^+(\text{C}_6\text{H}_6)$;  
(10) $Z^−[\text{FeO}]^+(\text{C}_6\text{H}_6)\rightarrow Z^−[\text{Fe}]^+(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{OH})$;  
(11) $Z^−[\text{Fe}]^+(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{OH})\rightleftharpoons Z^−[\text{Fe}]^++\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{OH}\text{(g)}$.

Reactions (9)–(11) are equivalent to (6)–(8), with the exception that $Z^−[\text{FeO}]^+$ is now the active center.

Fig. 2 gives the energetics versus reaction coordinate for reactions (9)–(11). All structures represent minimum energy structures with the exception of 2(c), which is a transition-state structure at each point along the reaction pathway. The sextet state gives the lowest energy surface for the cluster. The energy of benzene absorption, as written in reaction (9), is computed to be $-5.4 \text{ kcal/mol}$. The activation energy for benzene oxidation, corrected for zero-point energy, is 55.3 kcal/mol. The imaginary frequency associated with the transition-state mode is $1564$ i cm$^{-1}$. The desorption energy for phenol, as written in reaction (11), is computed to be $+4.5 \text{ kcal/mol}$.

Table 2 lists the bond lengths for species involved in the reactions shown in Fig. 2. As in Table 1, C and O atoms involved in a reaction are identified by an asterisk. Most significant in this table is the observation that the length of the Fe–O* bond in $Z^−[\text{FeO}]^+$ increases from 0.165 to 0.195 nm as O* is inserted into the C*=H bond of benzene. The length of the C*=H bond in benzene increases, correspondingly, from 0.109 to 0.126 nm, in a manner similar to that observed for the reaction of benzene with $Z^−[\text{FeO}^2]^+$.

While the active center in reactions (9)–(11) is identical to that reported by Yoshizawa et al. [17–20], the manner in which the calculations are carried out, the reaction pathway, and the energetics along the reaction are different. In the work of Yoshizawa et al., the whole cluster is relaxed, whereas in the present study only the central part of the cluster is relaxed. We have shown previously that full relaxation of the cluster can lead to activation energies that are lower than those obtained for the more physically realistic constrained cluster [30]. In the present study, phenol is found to form via insertion of oxygen into the C–H bond of benzene. Yoshizawa et al. [17–20], on the other hand, conclude that benzene first reacts with $Z^−[\text{FeO}]^+$ to produce phenyl.
and hydroxyl groups, which react further to form phenol.
A further difference is that while we find the sextet to pro-

Table 3
Computed rate parameters for the elementary steps involved in nitrous oxide dissociation and benzene oxidation in Fe-ZSM-5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>(E^a, \Delta H^b) (kcal mol(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Constant</th>
<th>(T) (K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) (Z^- [FeO]^+ + N_2O(g) \leftrightarrow Z^- [FeO]^+ (N_2O))</td>
<td>(\Delta H^a = -8.0, \Delta H^b = 1.01)</td>
<td>(K_1) atm(^{-1})</td>
<td>1.10 \times 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) (Z^- [FeO]^+ (N_2O) \rightarrow [Z^- [FeO]^+ (O_2) + N_2(g))</td>
<td>(E^a = 37.6, k_2 (s^{-1}))</td>
<td>(k_2) (s(^{-1}))</td>
<td>3.01 \times 10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) (Z^- [FeO]^+ (N_2O) \rightarrow Z^- [FeO]^+ (N_2O))</td>
<td>(\Delta H^b = -6.3, \Delta H^b = 8.41 \times 10^{10})</td>
<td>(k_4) (s(^{-1}))</td>
<td>4.83 \times 10^{-6}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) (Z^- [FeO]^+ (N_2O) \rightarrow [Z^- [FeO]^+ (O_2) + N_2(g))</td>
<td>(E^a = 44.6, \Delta H^b = 4.83 \times 10^{10})</td>
<td>(k_5) (atm(^{-1}))</td>
<td>6.79 \times 10^{-13}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) (Z^- [FeO]^+ (O_2) \rightarrow Z^- [FeO]^+ + O_2 (g))</td>
<td>(\Delta H^b = 53.3, \Delta H^b = 5.23 \times 10^{-2})</td>
<td>(K_5) (atm(^{-1}))</td>
<td>7.77 \times 10^{-2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6) (Z^- [FeO]^+ + C_6H_6 (g) \rightarrow Z^- [FeO]^+ (C_6H_6))</td>
<td>(\Delta H^b = -8.0, \Delta H^b = 2.39 \times 10^{10})</td>
<td>(K_6) (atm(^{-1}))</td>
<td>2.50 \times 10^{10}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7) (Z^- [FeO]^+ (C_6H_6) \rightarrow Z^- [FeO]^+ (C_6H_2OH))</td>
<td>(E^a = 41.9, \Delta H^b = 1.45 \times 10^{12})</td>
<td>(k_7) (s(^{-1}))</td>
<td>8.22 \times 10^{-14}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8) (Z^- [FeO]^+ (C_6H_2OH) \rightarrow Z^- [FeO]^+ + C_6H_2OH (g))</td>
<td>(\Delta H^b = 21.6, \Delta H^b = 7.70 \times 10^{-2})</td>
<td>(K_8) (atm(^{-1}))</td>
<td>1.68 \times 10^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9) (Z^- [FeO]^+ + C_6H_6(g) \rightarrow Z^- [FeO]^+ (C_6H_6))</td>
<td>(\Delta H^b = -6.8, \Delta H^b = 1.94 \times 10^{-3})</td>
<td>(K_9) (atm(^{-1}))</td>
<td>2.61 \times 10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10) (Z^- [FeO]^+ (C_6H_6) \rightarrow Z^- [FeO]^+ (C_6H_2OH))</td>
<td>(E^a = 55.3, \Delta H^b = 1.13 \times 10^{10})</td>
<td>(k_{10}) (s(^{-1}))</td>
<td>9.45 \times 10^{-7}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(11) (Z^- [FeO]^+ (C_6H_2OH) \rightarrow Z^- [FeO]^+ + C_6H_2OH (g))</td>
<td>(\Delta H^b = 6.0, \Delta H^b = 2.37 \times 10^{10})</td>
<td>(K_{11}) (atm(^{-1}))</td>
<td>1.74 \times 10^{-14}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(a\) Calculated activation energy including zero-point energy correction.
\(b\) Calculated enthalpy averaged over 600–800 K.

and hydroxyl groups, which react further to form phenol.
A further difference is that while we find the sextet to pro-
At \( T = 600 \text{ K} \), this ratio is \( 7.04 \times 10^5 \) and at \( T = 800 \text{ K} \), this ratio is \( 5.29 \times 10^4 \). Hence, we predict that the oxidation of benzene should proceed more readily over \([\text{FeO}_2]^+\) than \([\text{FeO}]^+\).

To test the validity of reaction (7) as the rate-determining step in the oxidation of benzene to phenol, we calculated the kinetic isotope effect (KIE) for the reaction of 1,3,5-tri- \( \text{benzene} \) with adsorbed oxygen formed by decomposition of \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) over Fe-ZSM-5. The authors suggest that the absence of a pronounced kinetic isotope effect indicates that the rate-determining step in benzene oxidation does not involve the rupture of a carbon–hydrogen bond.

In the context of the analysis presented here, the rate-determining step in benzene oxidation is reaction (7). The rate constant for this reaction can be expressed as

\[
k_7 = \frac{\left( k_B T \right)^{2+} \left[ \text{FeO}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{OH})]^+ \right] \text{vib}}{q_{\text{Z}} \left[ \text{FeO}_2(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5) \right]^+ \text{vib}} e^{-E_{\text{act}}/RT},
\]

where \( k_B \) is Boltzmann’s constant, \( h \) is Planck’s constant, and \( E_{\text{act}} \) is the activation barrier including zero-point energy corrections. In Eq. (8) \( q_{\text{Z}} \left[ \text{FeO}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{OH})]^+ \right] \text{vib} \) and \( q_{\text{Z}} \left[ \text{FeO}_2(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5) \right]^+ \text{vib} \) have been written to represent the vibrational partition function for the equilibrium and transition-state structures, respectively. In order to determine the kinetic KIE, we computed the ratio of kinetic rate constants for the formation of \( \text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{D}_3\text{OH} \) and \( \text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{D}_2\text{OD} \). Since the activation energy is unaffected by the presence of deuterium, this expression is simply the ratio of vibrational partition functions for the transition states

\[
\text{KIE} = \frac{k_7(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{D}_3\text{OH})}{k_7(\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{D}_2\text{OD})} = \frac{q_{\text{Z}}^{2+} \left[ \text{FeO}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{OH})]^+ \right] \text{vib}}{q_{\text{Z}}^{2+} \left[ \text{FeO}_2(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5) \right]^+ \text{vib}}.
\]

At \( 25^\circ \text{C} \), KIE = 1.02. This result is consistent with the experimental finding of KIE \( \approx 1 \), supporting the proposed view that reaction (7) is a plausible rate-determining step in the oxidation of benzene.

The oxidation of benzene is in direct competition with the dissociation of \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) whether the active species is \([\text{FeO}_2]^+\) or \([\text{FeO}]^+\). We can compute the relative rates of \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) dissociation and \( \text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{H}_6 \) benzene oxidation for each of the two cases as follows. For the relative rate of benzene oxidation versus nitrous oxide dissociation over \([\text{FeO}_2]^+\), we write

\[
-r_{[\text{FeO}_2]}^{[\text{Bz}]} = \frac{k_a^{[\text{FeO}_2]} \left[ \text{Bz} \right] \left[ \text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{O}\right]}{k_a^{[\text{FeO}_2]} \left[ \text{Bz} \right] \left[ \text{C}_6\text{H}_6\right]}.
\]

Similarly, for \([\text{FeO}]^+\),

\[
-r_{[\text{FeO}]}^{[\text{Bz}]} = \frac{k_a^{[\text{FeO}]} \left[ \text{Bz} \right] \left[ \text{C}_6\text{H}_6\right]}{k_a^{[\text{FeO}]} \left[ \text{Bz} \right] \left[ \text{C}_6\text{H}_6\right]}.
\]

Note that \( k_a^{[\text{FeO}]} \left[ \text{N}_2\text{O} \right] \) and \( k_a^{[\text{FeO}_2]} \left[ \text{N}_2\text{O} \right] \) are the apparent rate constants for \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) dissociation over \([\text{FeO}]^+\) and \([\text{FeO}_2]^+\), respectively, as determined in a previous publication [15] and listed in Table 4.

Table 5 presents the values of ratios given in Eqs. (10) and (11) versus temperature, assuming \([\text{C}_6\text{H}_6]/[\text{N}_2\text{O}] = 1\). The ratio of the rates of benzene oxidation to \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) dissociation over \([\text{FeO}_2]^+\) is \( 5.73 \times 10^2 \) at \( T = 600 \text{ K} \) and \( 2.56 \times 10^3 \) at \( T = 800 \text{ K} \). The ratio of the rates of benzene oxidation to \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) dissociation over \([\text{FeO}]^+\) is \( 6.37 \times 10^{-8} \) at \( T = 600 \text{ K} \) and \( 9.27 \times 10^{-5} \) at \( T = 800 \text{ K} \). For \([\text{FeO}]^+\), the rate of \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) dissociation is much higher than the rate of benzene oxidation, whereas for \([\text{FeO}_2]^+\), the rate of benzene oxidation is much higher than the rate of \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) dissociation.

An overall rate expression for the rate of benzene oxidation can now be developed on the basis of the above scheme. Assuming, as was done above, that reaction (6) is quasi-equilibrated the rate of benzene oxidation can be written as

\[
r_{[\text{FeO}_2]}^{[\text{Bz}]} = k_7 K_6[\text{C}_6\text{H}_6][\text{Z}^-][\text{FeO}_2]^+.
\]

At steady state, the rate of \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) decomposition must be equivalent to the rate of benzene oxidation, therefore

\[
r_{[\text{FeO}_2]}^{[\text{Bz}]} = r_{[\text{FeO}]}^{[\text{N}_2\text{O}]}.
\]

The site balance on the active centers is given by

\[
[\text{L}] = [\text{Z}^-][\text{FeO}]^+] + [\text{Z}^-][\text{FeO}_2]^+ + [\text{Z}^-][\text{FeO}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{OH})]^+.
\]

where \([\text{L}]\) is the total concentration of Fe sites. In writing Eq. (14), it is assumed that the coverage by adsorbed \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) and \( \text{C}_6\text{H}_6 \) is small relative to those of other species. If we assume reaction (8) is quasi-equilibrated, we can write

\[
k_8[\text{Z}^-][\text{FeO}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{OH})]^+] = [\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{OH}][\text{Z}^-][\text{FeO}]^+.
\]
Introducing Eq. (13) into Eq. (14) leads to the following expression for the site balance:

$$[L] = [Z^-][FeO]^+ \times \left\{ 1 + \frac{k_2 K_1 [N_2O]}{k_7 K_6 [C_6H_6]} + K_8[C_6H_5OH] \right\}. \quad (16)$$

Then, using Eqs. (12), (13), and (16), the overall rate of benzene oxidation written as a turnover frequency is

$$r_{[FeO]}^{[FeO]} = \frac{k_2 K_1 [N_2O]}{[L]} \left\{ 1 + \frac{k_2 K_1 [N_2O]}{k_7 K_6 [C_6H_6]} + K_8[C_6H_5OH] \right\}. \quad (17)$$

Note that the units of the rate are mol C_6H_6/(mol Fe s).

Fig. 3 shows the overall rate of benzene oxidation versus inverse temperature. For simplicity, we have assumed [C_6H_5OH] \approx 0 and [N_2O]/[C_6H_6] = 1. Note that for temperatures \( \leq 700 \) K, the apparent activation barrier for benzene oxidation, taken as the slope of \( \ln[r_{[FeO]}^{[FeO]}]_{Bz}[L]^{-1} \) versus \( 1/T \), is \( \sim 37 \) kcal/mol. In this temperature regime \( k_2 K_1/k_7 K_6 > 1 \) (i.e., at \( T = 600 \) K, \( k_2 K_1/k_7 K_6 = 20 \)) and the rate of benzene oxidation can be written as

$$r_{[FeO]}^{[FeO]} = k_7 K_6 [C_6H_6]. \quad (18)$$

At temperatures \( \geq 800 \) K, the apparent activation barrier is \( \sim 27 \) kcal/mol and the value of \( k_2 K_1/k_7 K_6 < 1 \) (i.e., at \( T = 800 \) K, \( k_2 K_1/k_7 K_6 = 1.8 \times 10^{-2} \)) and in this temperature regime the rate of benzene oxidation becomes

$$r_{[FeO]}^{[FeO]} = k_2 K_1 [N_2O]. \quad (19)$$

Panov and co-workers [2] have measured the conversion and selectivity of benzene oxidation by nitrous oxide over Fe-ZSM-5 for a range of temperatures (573–673 K) and iron content (0.004–0.72 wt% Fe_2O_3). Surprisingly, the authors make no mention of the measured apparent activation energy for the overall process. Our calculations based on the experimental data give values ranging from 28 to 8 kcal/mol for Fe-ZSM-5 samples containing 0.004 to 0.72 wt% Fe_2O_3, respectively. While the upper value of the range overlaps the lower value of the activation barrier reported here, no definitive conclusions can be drawn regarding the level of agreement between theory and experiment, given the wide range of values determined from the experimental results.

Reitzmann et al. [32] have recently investigated the kinetics of benzene oxidation by nitrous oxide over Fe-ZSM-5 (Si/Al = 21.5, 1.9 wt% Fe_2O_3) in a recycle reactor. At 673 K, and N_2O and C_6H_6 partial pressures of 0.03 atm, these authors report the rate of benzene consumption to be 0.05 mmol/(g Fe min) and the rate of phenol formation to be 0.03 mmol/(g Fe min). For these reaction conditions, our calculated rate of benzene oxidation to phenol 0.04 mmol/(g Fe min), which shows very good agreement between theory and experiment. Comparison can also be made with the data of Panov et al. [2]. At 673 K, and N_2O and C_6H_6 partial pressures of 0.20 and 0.05 atm, respectively, these authors report rates of 66 to 0.9 mmol/(g Fe min) for Fe-ZSM-5 containing 0.004 to 0.72 wt% Fe_2O_3. For the same conditions, Eq. (17) predicts a value of 0.1 mmol/(g Fe min). In this case, the agreement between theory and experiment is not as compelling.

### 4. Conclusions

Two possible pathways for the N_2O oxidation of benzene over Fe-ZSM-5 have been evaluated theoretically, assuming [FeO]^{2+} and [FeO]^+ species to be the active centers. The choice of active centers was based on a previous investigation of N_2O decomposition on Fe-ZSM-5 [15]. Classical transition-state theory calculations give values of the rate of benzene oxidation that are much higher for [FeO]^{2+} than for [FeO]^+. This chemistry is further supported by the conclusion of earlier work that [FeO]^{2+} is the most abundant surface species in a ZSM-5 system with isolated Fe cations [15]. Both pathways compete directly with the dissociation of N_2O for active sites. The relative rates of benzene oxidation and N_2O dissociation on both [FeO]^{2+} and [FeO]^+ species have been calculated. For [FeO]^+, the relative rate of nitrous oxide dissociation is higher than that of benzene oxidation, whereas for [FeO]^{2+}, benzene oxidation is preferred. The analysis presented leads to a comprehensive picture of the partial oxidation of benzene by N_2O over Fe-ZSM-5 coupled with nitrous oxide dissociation.
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